Teaching photosensitizers a new trick: red light-triggered G-quadruplex alkylation by ligand co-localization†
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We propose a bimolecular approach for G-quadruplex alkylation, using a pro-reactive furan-containing ligand, activated by red-light irradiation of a proximate G4-binding photosensitizer. G4- over dsDNA alkylation can be achieved selectively and proves high-yielding at low ligand excess. HPLC and modelling studies allowed identifying potential residues involved in the alkylation.

G-Quadruplexes (G4s) are well-known structures that can be formed in the promoter region of numerous and relevant proto-oncogenes as well as in human telomeric regions.1 Recent evidence that such structures could be formed in vivo under physiological conditions justifies the efforts directed towards the design of molecules capable of stabilizing G4s in a selective manner.2 While most of the available ligands engage in non-covalent interactions with G4-DNAs, also examples of G4-alkylating agents exist.3 However, most of them rely on highly reactive moieties which can show off-target reactions, compromising their G4-selectivity. Alternative and elegant approaches involve the use of pro-reactive moieties which can be activated by external stimuli, allowing spatiotemporal control, reducing collateral damage and preventing premature degradation of the ligands. In therapeutic contexts light is a practical stimulus, but photo-alkylation procedures are poorly explored and often require harmful UV-irradiation that may limit their applicability.4 Our group has previously reported on furan-based methodologies for DNA–DNA and PNA–DNA interstrand crosslinking.5 Pro-reactive furan can be oxidized into a reactive keto–enol, which rapidly reacts with exocyclic amines of DNA nucleobases. The activation can be conveniently triggered by singlet oxygen (O2), generated in situ by light irradiation of a photosensitizer (PS).6 In this study, we apply this methodology to a small molecule–DNA interaction, using furan-modified G4 ligands to covalently target G4 structures (Fig. 1).

To achieve this goal, we synthesized a previously reported furan-containing ligand (TAP-A). G4 c-Kit, a reported target for TAP-A, was used as a model sequence for the optimization.7 We first evaluated which PS suited best for furan activation, reasoning that employing a G4-binding PS, such as methylene blue (MB),8 could guarantee local O2 generation, thus reducing collateral oxidative damage. At the same time, the high tissue penetration of the red-light wavelengths needed for O2 production (660 nm) makes its use more suitable for therapeutic applications.9 When comparing O2 generation by MB versus Rose Bengal (RB, a PS with similar quantum yield10 but not able to bind G4, see ESI† Section 3 for further discussion) through monitoring of ABDA degradation, a decreased ABDA quenching by MB in presence of G4-DNA was noted (Fig. S4 and Table S1, ESI†), while a faster TAP-A oxidation was observed in the combined presence of MB and G4-DNA (Fig. 2). In contrast,
the ability of RB to quench ABDA was not influenced by the presence of G4-DNA. This indirectly indicates the co-localization of both ligand and PS on the G4-DNA in the case of MB, thus allowing a general reduction of $^{1}O_2$ levels while maintaining efficient furan oxidation. This effect is even more pronounced at low (0.1 M) PS concentration.

Next, the alkylation efficiency of TAP-A in presence of the target G4-sequence was evaluated in buffered solutions (pH 7.4, 10 mM KCl), using a 5-fold excess of ligand with respect to the target to ensure formation of the G4-ligand complex, as previously reported.$^7$ MB concentration was kept at 0.1 μM. The mixtures were irradiated for 30 min and analysed by HPLC-UV. Both DNA and ligand signals decreased upon light irradiation, leading to the formation of new peaks (Fig. 3), which were purified and analysed via MALDI-TOF (Fig. 3, insert). Mass analysis revealed the formation of two alkylation products: while the first, single peak (rt = 7.9 min) showed the presence of the mono-alkylation adduct, the two close signals (rt = 8.2 min) revealed the presence of mono and di-alkylation products (+576 Da and +1152 Da, respectively). Based on HPLC-chromatogram integration, up to 73.5% of c-Kit reacted and was, as can be reasonably assumed, converted to an alkylated product, as no significant DNA oxidation was observed in absence of ligand (Fig. S7, ESI†). Additionally, in presence of alternative DNA structures (mutated c-Kit ssDNA and dsDNAs, see Fig. 3A) no significant differences were found in the DNA HPLC profiles after irradiation (see ESI† Fig. S12), thus confirming the high G4-selectivity of this methodology. Moreover, even at 2.0 μM PS concentration, which ensures complete oxidation of the ligand (Fig. 3C), no alkylation of non-G4-DNAs was observed. The G4-selectivity over dsDNA was further confirmed by competition experiments, were increasing amounts of dsLAC were added to a c-Kit solution (please see ESI† Section 8).

To identify the residues involved in the alkylation, we decided to systematically substitute the bases in the loops with unreactive thymines (G4-forming ability was confirmed by UV-melting experiments at 295 nm, Table S3, ESI†).$^{11}$ Substitution of C1 (Fig. 4, C1 → T), led to the disappearance of the di-alkylation peaks (rt = 8.2 min), while retaining the single signal corresponding to mono-alkylation product (rt = 7.9 min). Interestingly, when substituting all the reactive residues (LT in Fig. 4), a similar alkylation profile was maintained. This is a clear indication that alkylation occurs on C1 as well as on a guanine of the tetrads.

In order to expand the scope of this methodology, we synthesized an alternative new furan-equipped G4-ligand based on a different scaffold, featuring another well-established G4-binding motif (pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamides, PDC).$^4b,12$ The synthetic route was adapted to include a furan moiety, linked with a long spacing unit to the PDC core ($^{PDC-A}$, Fig. 1). The insertion of a linker to outdistance the pro-reactive unit from the G4-binding core, and to maximize the interactions with the target, is in line with previous literature reports.$^4b$ Also here, the ligand oxidation rate in presence of G4-DNA is only marginally affected by MB dilution (Fig. S10, ESI†). In alkylation experiments, PDC-A showed a slightly lower conversion (up to 54.6%) with formation of a single peak in the HPLC-UV chromatogram.
binding modes with c-Kit. However, it is known that TAP-based ligands feature multiple results, illustrating a stable but ‘non-reactive’ binding mode. TAP-A threshold distance of 4.0 Å from the TAP-A the top five docking solutions of energy scores (i.e., the target, including loop movements. Taking into account the NMR coordinates (PDB ID: 2KQH), to consider the flexibility of was performed on 10 conformations of c-Kit available from NMR coordinates (PDB ID: 2KQH), to consider the flexibility of the target, including loop movements. Taking into account the energy scores (i.e. most stable binding modes, Fig. S23, ESIF), the top five docking solutions of TAP-A were encountered with c-Kit conformers #9 (ranked 1 to 4) and #7 (ranked 5). The four docking solutions obtained with the c-Kit conformer #9 depict a similar positioning of TAP-A (Fig. S24A, ESIF). The same protocol was followed with oxidized TAP-A on the c-Kit conformer #9, showing a preserved binding mode (Fig. S24B, ESIF). In this binding mode, we did not detect any NH2 residue within a threshold distance of 4.0 Å from the TAP-A keto–enol reactive carbon. These docking solutions can thus not explain our results, illustrating a stable but ‘non-reactive’ binding mode. However, it is known that TAP-based ligands feature multiple binding modes with c-Kit. Hence, the fifth-top docking solution is an alternative binding mode obtained with c-Kit conformer #7 (Fig. S23, ESIF), also preserved with oxidized TAP-A (Fig. S24, ESIF). The pyrrolidinylpropanamide chain is docked in the single-nucleotide loop L3 formed by A17 (Fig. S24, ESIF), positioning the reactive carbonyl of the keto–enol chain at a distance of 3.7 Å from the G12 exocyclic amine (Fig. 5A). Although C1 is still distant (7.0 Å, Fig. 5A), the flexibility of the keto–enol makes the alkylation possible when extending the structural analysis to the top 3 docking solutions of oxidized TAP-A with c-Kit conformer #7 (Fig. S26, ESIF). The C1-distance decreases to 3.8 Å or 4.2 Å in the second and third docking solutions, respectively, whereas G12 distance is 4.2 Å and 5.1 Å in the same docking solutions. The results are in agreement with the thymine substitution experiments for TAP-A. For PDC-A, the five most stable docking poses are encountered with c-Kit conformer #8 (scores in Fig. S23 and poses in S27A, ESIF). As for TAP-A, oxidation of PDC-A does not influence the binding mode, the most stable docking solution of both furan-closed and oxidized forms of PDC-A being superimposed (Fig. S27B, ESIF). PDC-A is mainly docked in the lateral loop ‘L2’ (C9G10C11G12A13), and it orients the reactive carbon close to several c-Kit exocyclic amines, i.e. C11 (3.8 Å), G8 (6.1 Å), and G10 (6.4 Å), a residue belonging to a central quartet (Fig. 5B). Considering the oxidized PDC-A docking solutions #2 and #3 of (Fig. S28, ESIF), the G8 distance decreases from 5.8 Å in the second docking solution (3.8 Å for C11) to 3.9 Å in the third-best ranked solution (Fig. S28, ESIF), whereas the C11 distance increases to 5.3 Å. It is important to underline that, although the keto–enol chain is located in a similar c-Kit region for the three-best ranked docking solutions of oxidized PDC-A with c-Kit conformer #8, quinolinium moieties are not well superimposed (Fig. S28, ESIF), highlighting a possible competition between two PDC-A binding sites following its oxidation that could explain why we do not observe reactivity with the C11 residue, but rather G8/G10. Altogether, these docking results provide the ‘reactive’ binding modes of the ligands as well as insights to understand the alkylation profile obtained in our experiments, giving clues on the possible residues involved in the alkylation. In addition, docking simulations confirm the possibility for simultaneous co-localization of MB and each ligand studied here on the same G4, with MB interacting with the lower G4 tetrad (see ESIF Section 10 and Fig. S33).

These results were validated by synthesizing and testing additional furan-containing ligands (TAP-B and PDC-B), bearing a spacing unit to outdistance the furan from the supposed reactive residues, resulting in a reduced alkylation efficiency (please refer to Section 9 and 10 in ESIF). Finally, we have tested the furan-mediated alkylation to two other relevant G4-forming sequences, c-Myc and h-Telo (Table S2, ESIF). Preliminary results
of photodynamic therapy to localize $^{1}O_2$ production close to G4-RNA sequences.\textsuperscript{15} Given the large number of existing G4-ligand types, we envisage the expansion of this methodology to other classes of compounds, with the aim of targeting different G4-forming sequences of various topologies.
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