A Self-Assembled Complex with a Titanium(IV) Catecholate Core as a Potential Bimodal Contrast Agent


Abstract: A ditopic chelating ligand (H4) that bears catechol and diethylentriamine-N,N',N,N''-N,N''-pentaacetate (DTPA) has been designed and shown to specifically bind lanthanide(III) ions at the DTPA core ([(Ln(H4)(H2O)])3-) and further self-assemble with titanium(IV), thereby giving rise to the formation of a supramolecular metallostar complex with a lanthanide(III)-to-titanium(IV) ratio of 3:1, [(Ln4)(H2O)]2- (Ln=La, Eu, Gd). The efficacy of the metallostar complex as a potential bimodal optical/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) agent has been evaluated. Nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) measurements for the [(Gd4)(H2O)]3- complex have demonstrated an enhanced r1 relaxation that corresponds to 36.9 s-1 mm-1 per metallostar molecule at 20 MHz and 310 K, which is a result of a decreased tumbling rate. The ability of the complex to bind to human serum albumin (HSA) was also examined by relaxometric measurements. In addition, upon UV irradiation the [(Gd4)(H2O)]2- complex exhibits broad-band green emission in the range 400-750 nm with a maximum at 490 nm. Taking into account the high relaxivity and luminescence properties, the [(Gd4)(H2O)]2- complex is a good lead compound for the development of efficient bimodal contrast agents.
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Introduction

Over the last three decades, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has gained a great importance and is currently routinely used as a diagnostic tool in various medical procedures. Since its introduction, a continuous interest has grown in the development of more efficient, more tissue-specific, and recently, more responsive contrast agents.[1-3] Complexes of gadolinium(III) ions act as contrast agents for T1-weighted MR images because they decrease the longitudinal relaxation time T1 by increasing the relaxation rate of the spins of the surrounding water protons.[3] This enhances the image contrast between normal and diseased tissue or indicates the status of organ function or blood flow.[4] The effectiveness of an MRI contrast agent is expressed in terms of its relaxivity, r1, which is defined as the longitudinal relaxation rate in s-1 measured in a solution of Gd³⁺ with a concentration of 1 mM. The currently used contrast agents have relaxivities of about 4 to 5 mm⁻¹ s⁻¹, but according to the Solomon–Bloembergen theory, relaxivities up to 100 mm⁻¹ s⁻¹ can be achieved.[5] The water proton relaxivity is mainly characterized by four parameters: the number of water molecules in the first coordination sphere of Gd³⁺ (g), the exchange rate for these water molecules (r2), the relaxation behavior of the electron spin of Gd³⁺ (r3), and the rotational diffusion of the complex in solution (r4).[6] One of the ways to achieve a higher proton relaxivity is to slow down the rotational motion of the contrast agent. This can be achieved by binding the Gd³⁺ complex by means of covalent or noncovalent bonds to a large macromolecule such as a polymer or a protein.[7-9] Another approach is achieved by incorporating the Gd³⁺ complex into slowly tumbling supramolecular assemblies such as micelles or liposomes.[10-12]

Recently, Livringanto et al.[13-15] presented a compound they named metallostar, which is a self-assembled Gd³⁺ chelate around a central d-block metal ion. The concept of metallostars as potential MRI contrast agents was initially described by Jacques and Desreux.[16-17] Because of the higher molecular weight of the metallostar compounds, higher proton relaxivities may be obtained after formation of a supramolecular polymetallic complex. Furthermore, these compounds show a lower degree of internal flexibility than Gd³⁺ chelates in micelles. The higher rigidity and the multiple Gd³⁺ ions within one molecule could also reduce the re-
quired concentration of the contrast agent. For certain applications of MRI, such as cellular imaging, it is favorable to limit the amount of contrast agents to prevent cell damage.

Catechol is known to act as a bisdenate chelating ligand that has a high affinity for metal ions in high oxidation states or with a high charge-to-ionic-radius ratios.\textsuperscript{[9] As a pioneer of metal–catecholate chemistry, Raymond et al. reported in 1984 that due to its high Lewis acidity, the titanium(IV) ion is able to stabilize the catecholate anion over a broad pH range.\textsuperscript{[9] At physiological pH, a stable complex (log$\beta_{2}\text{ = }60$) is formed by coordination of three catechol entities to a central Ti(IV) ion.\textsuperscript{[19,20]} Later on, taking advantage of this strong affinity, catechol derivatives have been widely used for synthesis of Ti(IV) complexes and even as binding agents for TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{[21–24]}

In this paper, we describe the synthesis of a new ligand H$_3$A, which bears a catechol and a diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) moiety linked together through an amide bond (Scheme 1). The DTPA unit provides a stable chelating environment for Ln(III) ions ([Ln(H$_2$A)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$), whereas catechol is able to self-assemble with a Ti(IV) ion to form a heteropolymeric metallostar complex. ([Ln$_4$]Ti$_2$(H$_2$O)$_7$]$^{8+}$ (Ln = La, Eu, Gd). Whereas the [La(H$_4$A)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ and ([La$_4$]Ti$_2$(H$_2$O)$_7$]$^{8+}$ complexes allowed H NMR spectroscopic characterization of these metallos, the Gd$_{14}$ analogues were used for relaxivity studies. In this context, the ability of catechol to bind to human serum albumin (HSA) was examined by relaxometric measurements. To gain more information about the coordination environment and the hydration numbers, the photophysical properties of the corresponding Eu(III) complexes have also been studied. In addition, to test the feasibility of ([Gd$_3$]Ti$_2$(H$_2$O)$_7$]$^{8+}$ to act as a bimodal contrast agent, its luminescent properties were investigated. Bimodal imaging is presently gaining a great deal of interest since it can take advantage of great spatial, temporal resolution, and unlimited tissue penetration of MRI and high sensitivity of optical imaging.\textsuperscript{[25–28]} Different classes of compounds have been designed as bimodal contrast agents, for example, Gd(III) chelates derivatized with luminescent dyes or transition-metal complexes,\textsuperscript{[29–32] as well as functionalized Fe$_2$O$_3$,$^{[33]}$ polymer,$^{[34]}$ or silica$^{[35]}$ nanoparticles.

\textbf{Results and Discussion}

\textbf{The ligand and complexes:} The synthesis of the catechol-DTPA-based ligand started from N,N-bis(2-[bis[2-(1,1-dimethyl)ethylenoxy]-2-oxoethyl]amino[ethyl]glycine (1),\textsuperscript{[36]} of which only the central carboxyl group remained unprotected. Compound 1 was coupled with 3,4-(dibenzyloxy)phenylglycine (2) in the presence of ortho-benzotriazol-1-yl-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) and dry triethylamine to yield the protected ligand 3. For the coupling of both entities, an amide bond was chosen because of its high in vivo stability. After deprotection of the phenyl and tert-butyl groups, the final ligand H$_3$A was obtained as a white solid (Scheme 1). A proton NMR spectrum of ligand H$_3$A was recorded in D$_2$O; its peaks corresponded to the labeled molecule (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). Further, a full assignment was achieved by a two-dimensional COSY experiment (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

The electrospray mass spectrum (ESI-MS) in the positive mode showed molecular peaks [M+H]$^+$ and [M+Na]$^+$ at m/z 529.6 and 551.9, respectively. Lanthanide(III) complexes were obtained by treating the ligand H$_3$A with a lanthanide(III) chloride (Ln = La, Eu, Gd) under slightly alkaline conditions. All [Ln(H$_3$A)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ complexes were purified by Chelex 100 to remove the free lanthanide ions and their purity was checked by a test with an arsenazo indicator solution. Positive-mode ESI-MS of the complexes showed molecular peaks [M+2 Na]$^+$ at m/z 709.2, 723.0, and 728.0, which correspond to the La$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, and Gd$^{3+}$ complexes, respectively. Complexation of H$_3$A with La$^{3+}$ resulted in a significant change in the aliphatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum. The aliphatic resonances of [La(H$_3$A)(H$_2$O)]$^{3+}$ showed a small shift to lower ppm values and a large broadening in
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comparison with those of the ligand, while the aromatic resonances remained sharp and unchanged. This indicates the selective coordination of the La	extsuperscript{III} ion to the carboxylic groups of the DTPA moiety. The broadening of the aliphatic resonances is consistent with the occurrence of several interconverting isomers, which is characteristic for lanthanide-(III) complexes with DTPA ligands. After heating the solution to 368 K, the aliphatic resonances of [La(H	extsubscript{2}O)4(H2O)]	extsuperscript{3+} showed severe broadening, whereas the aromatic resonances remained sharp (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information).

To form stable metallostat complexes, Fe	extsuperscript{II}, Ga	extsuperscript{III}, and Ti	extsuperscript{IV} ions were used as central metal ions. However, because mixtures of bis and tris complexes were formed at physiological pH in the presence of Fe	extsuperscript{II} or Ga	extsuperscript{III} ions, these complexes will not be discussed further in this work. In the presence of Ti	extsuperscript{IV}, the color changed from colorless to red, thereby indicating that Ti	extsuperscript{IV} had formed a supramolecular structure with three catechol moieties (Scheme 2).\textsuperscript{26} Total-reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF) measurements on this complex confirmed a 3:1 ratio of La	extsuperscript{III} versus Ti	extsuperscript{IV}. Hence, we can represent the structure of the metallostat compound as [(La4)3Ti(H2O)3]3+ (Figure 1). In the 1H NMR spectrum of [(La4)3Ti(H2O)3]3+, a shift of the aromatic resonances towards lower ppm values after the complexation of the ligand with Ti	extsuperscript{IV} was observed (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). The strongest shifts of δ = 0.51 and 0.48 ppm are attributed to protons g and i, respectively (see Scheme 1 for atom labeling). The aromatic proton h underwent a smaller shift of δ = 0.23 ppm. The appearance of only three proton resonances of the aromatic entity of [(La4)3Ti(H2O)3]3+ also indicate the formation of only one type of the complex in solution. Although no single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis could be obtained, the data gained from IR, ESI-MS, NMR, spectroscopic (see below), and TXRF analyses are consistent with the formation of a supramolecular complex with three lanthanide(III) ions and one titanium(IV) ion (Figure 1).

Photophysical properties: The absorption spectrum of ligand H	extsubscript{2}A is similar to that of the nonderivatized catechol\textsuperscript{39} and displays a band at 281 nm (ε = 7185 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; Figure 2).

Figure 1. Framework molecular model of the [(Gd4)3Ti(H2O)3]3+ complex. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Scheme 2. Formation of the [(Gd4)3Ti(H2O)3]3+ complex: i) GdCl₆6H₂O, pyridine; ii) [TiO(acac)₃], Na₂CO₃.

Figure 2. Absorption spectra of ligand H	extsubscript{2}A (10⁻⁴ M, ...), of the complex [Gd(H₄A)(H₂O)]⁺ (10⁻⁴ M, ..., ...), and of the metallostat complex [(Gd₄)₃Ti(H₂O)₃]₃+ (3.3 × 10⁻⁴ M, ..., ...) in H₂O. The absorption spectra of the Eu	extsuperscript{III} complexes are similar and shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S5).
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This band slightly shifts to the blue region and a tail that extends to the red region appears upon complexation with Gd³⁺ in [Gd(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻ (ε = 6950 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). In the absorption spectrum of [(Gd₄)₂Ti(H₂O)₄]⁺, apart from the ligand-attenuated absorption at 277 nm (ε = 19 375 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), the ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) band appeared at 378 nm (ε = 8430 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The position of the LMCT band is typical for Ti³⁺ complexes of catechol[23,31], due to the high affinity of Ti³⁺ ions to catechol ligands[20,21,60]. The formation of [(Gd₄)₂Ti(H₂O)₄]⁺ in solution at low concentration remains preserved.

The excitation spectrum of [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻ displays a broad band at wavelengths shorter than 350 nm, which is attributed to the electronic transitions of the ligand along with characteristic f-f transitions of the Eu³⁺ ion from the F̄₁ levels to F₃ (307 nm), F₄ (313-325 nm), D₄ (363 nm), G₅ (370-390 nm), and L₄ (396 nm) (Figure 3, top). Upon excitation into the ligand levels at 270-280 nm, [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻ exhibits a red luminescence on account of the D₄ → F₄ (J = 0-4) transitions (Figure 3, bottom). It is well documented that lanthanide luminescence, particularly in the case of Eu³⁺, is very sensitive to the nature of the coordination environment and its symmetry[61]. The integral relative intensities of the transitions are listed in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The D₄ → F₄ and D₆ → F₄ transitions have almost equal integral intensities and represent approximately 40% of the total emission. The highly forbidden D₆ → F₄ transition has a relatively high integral intensity (13% of D₆ → F₄ transition), which suggests that the Eu³⁺ ion occupies a position with C₃v, C₅v, or C₆v symmetry. As expected, the crystal-field splitting patterns of the transitions are similar to the ones observed for other Eu³⁺ complexes of DTPA derivatives[42-44].

To determine the number of water molecules coordinated to the Eu³⁺ ions, the luminescence lifetimes of the D₆ level were measured in H₂O (τ₆₄₀ = 0.51 ms) and in D₂O (τ₆₄₀ = 1.67 ms) solutions (Table 1). Calculations were carried out according to the following equation (Eq. (1))[45]

\[
q(Eu) = 1.11 \times (\Delta k - 0.31 - 0.075 \times q^n)
\]

in which q^n = 1 is the number of coordinated amide groups, thus resulting in q(Eu) = 1.1. These results indicate that in [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻, the Eu³⁺ ions are hydrated by one water molecule and that they are nine-coordinated.

The luminescence quantum yield of [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻ under ligand excitation is 0.042%. The intrinsic quantum yield could not be measured because of the low absorption coefficients of the D₆ → F₄ transitions, thus its value was estimated to be 10% by using the following equations [Eqs. (2a) and (2b)]:

\[
\frac{\tau_{em}}{\tau_{rad}} = \frac{Q_{EM}^{0}}{Q_{EM}^{0}}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{rad}} = A_{MD,0} \times n^3 \left( \frac{I_{rad}}{A_{MD}} \right)
\]

in which A_{MD,0} is a constant equal to 14.65 s⁻¹ and (I_{rad}/A_{MD}) is the ratio of the total integrated D₆ → F₄ emission (here taken as J = 0-4) to the integrated intensity of the D₆ → F₄ magnetic dipole transition. The value of Q_{EM}^{0} is comparable with other hydrated Eu³⁺ complexes with 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA; 10%)[40] or DTPA (13%)[40] derivatives. However, these complexes display much higher overall quantum yields, 0.4 or 1.9%, respectively, in comparison to a value of 0.042% for [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻. This low value is a result of the very inefficient energy transfer from the organic ligand, which could be expected because of the absence of chromophoric groups close to the Eu³⁺ ion. Indeed, the sensitization efficiency calculated as the ratio [Eq. (3)]:

\[
\eta_{sens} = \frac{Q_{EM}^{0}}{Q_{EM}^{0}}
\]

is equal to only 0.42% for [Eu(H₄)₄(H₂O)]⁻. In addition, the formation of a low-lying charge-transfer state in
[Eu(H₂O)(H₂O)]⁺ could be responsible for the luminescence quenching.²⁵,²⁶

It is known that the Ti⁴⁺ ion in an inorganic matrix can exhibit intensive blue, green-blue, or even near-infrared broad-band luminescence (depending on the host matrix). Due to O²⁻→Ti⁴⁺ charge-transfer transitions.²⁷-²⁹ However, reports that describe the luminescence of Ti⁴⁺ in complexes with organic ligands are very scarce.²⁹ On the other hand, whereas Gd-DTPA does not show intraligand (IL) transitions,³⁰ catechol itself is luminescent and under excitation at 274 nm exhibits broad-band emission centered at 320 nm.³¹ To establish the possibility of [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ to act as bimodal optical/MRI contrast agent, its luminescence properties were investigated. The excitation spectrum of [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ resembles its absorption spectrum, but it is slightly redshifted and it displays two bands at 310 and 400 nm (Figure 4, top). Upon excitation either into the sharp features due to the ³D₉→²F₁ (J=0→4) transitions (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). Upon excitation at 270 nm, the luminescence spectrum of [(Eu₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ is dominated by the f→f transitions, but the broad emission in the range 400-750 nm still present. The relative integral intensities of ³D₉→²F₂ and ³D₉→²F₄ transitions in [(Eu₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ are slightly larger than in [Eu(H₄)L(H₂O)]²⁻ (Table S1 in the Supporting Information), whereas the crystal-field splitting of the bands is very similar for the two complexes (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information), thus confirming that the coordination of the catechol moiety to Ti⁴⁺ does not influence the environment around the Eu³⁺ ion. This is further confirmed by measurement of the luminescence lifetimes of the ³D₉ level, which remain the same within the limits of the experimental error for [Eu(H₄)L(H₂O)]²⁻ and [(Eu₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ in H₂O and D₂O: 0.51 (1) versus 0.52 (2) ms and 1.67 (2) versus 1.65 (4) ms, respectively. The Eu³⁺ ions are thus monohydrated in [(Eu₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺, as evidenced by calculations of q(Eu)=1.1 by using Equation (1).

Relaxometric studies: The efficacy of a Gd³⁺ complex as an MRI contrast agent is usually assessed through its relaxivity, which is defined as the increase of the proton relaxation rate induced by one mM per liter of complexed Gd³⁺. The relaxivity arises mainly from the contributions of short-distance interactions between the paramagnetic Gd³⁺ ion and the coordinated water molecules exchanging with bulk water, the so-called inner-sphere interaction,³²,³³ and from the long-distance interactions related to the diffusion of water molecules near the paramagnetic Gd³⁺ center, that is, the outer-sphere interaction.³⁴ The first contribution depends mainly on the number of water molecules coordinated in the first hydration sphere of the complexed ion (q), on the electronic relaxation times of Gd³⁺ (t₁s and t₂o), on the residence time of the coordinated water molecules (tₐ), and on the rotational correlation time (tₐ).

The residence time of the coordinated water molecules (tₐ), a key factor of the relaxivity, can be estimated through the analysis of the temperature dependence of the transverse relaxation rate of the ²⁴NMR spectroscopic resonance of water in the Gd³⁺ complex solutions.²⁵,²⁶,²⁷ The data obtained for [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ are shown in Figure 5.

The theoretical treatment of the experimental data was performed as previously described.²⁵,²⁶,²⁷ The following parameters were determined: t₀, the correlation time that modulates the electronic relaxation of Gd³⁺; Eₓ, the activation energy related to tₓ; B, related to the mean-square of the zero-field splitting energy Δ(B=2JₐJₕ); and ΔH⁰ and ΔS⁰, respectively, the enthalpy and entropy of activation of the water-exchange process. The number of coordinated water molecules was set to one in accordance with the data derived from the luminescence lifetime measurements on the Eu³⁺ complex, and Δ/Hₐ, the hyperfine coupling constant between the ²⁴O nucleus of the bound water molecule and the Gd³⁺ ion, was fixed to the typical value of ~3.6 x 10⁻⁴ J/mol. The calculated parameters are shown in Table 2.

---

Figure 4. Top: Excitation (solid line, λₑₓ=500 nm) and superimposed absorption (dashed line) spectra. Bottom: Emission (λₑₓ=380 nm) spectrum of [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ complex in aqueous solution (3.3 x 10⁻⁷ M).

LMCT band at 380 or at 270 nm, [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺ exhibits a green broad-band emission in the range 400-750 nm with a maximum of 400 nm (Figure 4, bottom), which might be attributed to intraligand and/or ligand-to-Ti⁴⁺ charge-transfer transitions. However, the absolute quantum yield is relatively low (0.054%).

When it comes to the Eu³⁺ derivative, excitation at 380 nm resulted in a similar broad-band emission as in the case of [(Gd₄)₄Ti(H₂O)]³⁺, however with the characteristic

---
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Table 2. Parameters obtained by the theoretical adjustment of the $^{17}O$ transverse relaxation rates versus the reciprocal of the temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{[Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$</th>
<th>Gd-DTPA$^{a}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_2^\infty$ [ms]</td>
<td>$(212 \pm 33)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H^\text{p}$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(45.2 \pm 0.3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S^\text{p}$ [J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(28.4 \pm 0.5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$ [$10^6$ rad s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$-3.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ [10$^5$ s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(6.3 \pm 0.4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ [ps]</td>
<td>$(20 \pm 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_D$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(10 \pm 4)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] From Ref. [67].

As expected, the calculated value of the water residence time at 310 K is larger than that of the parent compound. Indeed, the replacement of a carboxylic acid function by an amide is known to increase the residence time of the coordinated water molecules. The proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profiles of the complexes $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ and $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ are shown in Figure 6. The NMRD profile of $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ is typical for a low-molecular-weight complex, whereas the profile of $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ has a hump around 20 MHz, which is typical of a higher-molecular-weight complex.

The theoretical adjustment of the NMRD profiles takes into account the inner-sphere$^{[57,58]}$ and the outer-sphere$^{[59]}$ contributions to the paramagnetic relaxation rate. Some parameters were fixed during the fitting procedure: $q$, the number of coordinated water molecules ($q = 1$); $d$, the distance of closest approach ($d = 0.36$ nm); $D$, the relative diffusion constant ($D = 3.3 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$) and $r_{\text{wa}}$, the characteristic distance between the Gd$^{3+}$ ion and the proton nuclei of water ($r = 0.31$ nm). The results of these fittings are shown in Table 3 and Figure 6.

The $r_{\text{wa}}$ values at 310 K are close to that of the Gd-DTPA complex, and as expected, the $r_{\text{wa}}$ value of $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ is larger than the value for the Gd-DTPA complex. The $r_{\text{wa}}$ value of $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ agrees with the size of the complex and is responsible for the enhanced relaxivity. It should be emphasized that the relaxivity expressed in mM per liter of the $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ complex is 36.9 s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ at 20 MHz and 37.7 s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ at 60 MHz and 310 K.

The interaction of a small Gd$^{3+}$ complex with human serum albumin (HSA) results in an increase of the paramagnetic relaxation rate as a result of the increase of its rotational correlation time. The change of the observed relaxation rate depends on the intensity of the magnetic field and on the proportion of bound contrast agents, and therefore, on the strength of the interaction. The proton NMRD profiles of the paramagnetic relaxation rate of solutions that contain 1 mM per liter of complexed Gd$^{3+}$ and 4% of HSA are shown in Figure 7.

The slight increase of paramagnetic relaxation rate in the presence of HSA is partly explained by the viscosity increase induced by HSA (0.87 MPa s$^{-1}$ with HSA relative to 0.69 MPa s$^{-1}$ without HSA at 310 K). This change of viscosity results in an increased value of $r_{\text{wa}}$ and a decreased value of the relative diffusion constant $D$. Theoretical fittings performed with the parameters of the free Gd$^{3+}$ complexes, but which take into account these viscosity effects on $r_{\text{wa}}$ and $D$.  

---

Figure 5. Reduced transverse relaxation rate of $^{17}O$ ($1/T_2^\infty$) = $5.55(1/T_2^\infty - 1/T_2^\infty)$ for $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$.

Figure 6. $^1H$ NMRD relaxivity profiles of $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ (○) and $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$ (●) in water at 310 K. The relaxivity is expressed by mM per liter of Gd$^{3+}$. The solid lines correspond to the theoretical fittings of the data points. The dashed line corresponds to the fitting of Gd-DTPA data.

Table 3. Parameters obtained by the theoretical adjustment of the proton NMRD data in water at 310 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{[Gd(4)}\text{H}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$</th>
<th>Gd-DTPA$^{a}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_2^\infty$ [ns]</td>
<td>$1.04 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H^\text{p}$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(54 \pm 14)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S^\text{p}$ [J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(67 \pm 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$ [$10^6$ rad s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(25 \pm 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ [10$^5$ s$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$(1.8 \pm 0.2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{\text{wa}}$ [s$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$] at 20 MHz</td>
<td>$(108 \pm 1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] From Ref. [67]; [b] $t_2^\infty$ was fixed to the value obtained by $^{17}O$ relaxometry; [c] Fixed to the value obtained for $[\text{Gd(4)}\text{Ti(H}_2\text{O})_3\text{]}^{3+}$.
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Figure 7. Proton paramagnetic relaxation rate of solutions of [(Gd4)Ti(H2O)5]3+ (1 mM) in water and in aqueous solution of HSA (4%, o=HSA, e=water, ---=water fit). The dashed line through the data obtained in HSA is drawn to guide the reader’s eye. The dotted line represents the data calculated, when the viscosity increase is taken into account.

are shown in Figure 7. The data indicate that the presence of HSA does not induce significant modifications. This result was confirmed by the analysis of the paramagnetic proton relaxation rate of solutions that contain 4% of HSA and increasing amounts of [[(Gd4)Ti(H2O)5]3+ (Figure 8). The slight increase in paramagnetic relaxation over the concentration range investigated does not indicate the presence of a significant interaction between [(Gd4)Ti(H2O)5]3+ and HSA.

Figure 8. Proton paramagnetic relaxation rate of solutions of [(Gd4)Ti(H2O)5]3+ in an aqueous solution of HSA (4%, o=) at 20 MHz and 310 K. The dashed line represents the data obtained in water (---).

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that a new dipotopic DTPA--catechol ligand is able to bind lanthanide(III) ions, [Ln(H24)(H2O)4]3+- and further self-assemble with TiIV, thereby giving rise to stable metallostar molecules, [([Ln4]Ti(H2O)3)]3+. The formation of such a supramolecular complex in case of the GdIII analogue induces a decrease of the tumbling rate by a factor of 4 to 7.4 relative to the monomer and currently used commercial MRI contrast agent Gd-DTPA (Magnevist), respectively. This, in turn, leads to an enhanced r1 relaxivity up to 12.3 s⁻¹ mm⁻³ per GdIII ion at 20 MHz and 310 K that corresponds to 36.9 s⁻¹ mm⁻³ per metallostar molecule. In addition to these high relaxivities, the [([Gd4]Ti(H2O)5)]3+ complex shows interesting photophysical properties. Under UV excitation, the GdIII-TiIV metallostar exhibits broadband green emission with a quantum yield of 0.064%. Although the latter value is rather low, the ligand was not specifically optimized to give highly luminescent complexes. However, appropriate functionalization should make such dipotopic ligands promising candidates for the successful design of bimodal optical/MRI contrast agents.

Experimental Section

Materials: Reagents were obtained from Aldrich Chemical (Bremen, Belgium) and Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) and were used without further purification. Gadolinium(III) chloride hexahydrate was obtained from GPS Chemicals (Powell, Ohio, USA).

Synthesis of N,N-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)methyl]-2-bromoethylamine. Powdered KHCO3 (192 g, 192 mmol, 2.6 equiv) was added to tert-butyl bromoacetate (33 g, 173 mmol, 2.3 equiv) dissolved in DMSO (150 mL). The suspension was cooled to 0°C and ethanalamine (4.6 mL, 75 mmol, 1 equiv) was added dropwise over a period of five minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 min and then for 24 h at room temperature. The semisolid residue obtained after removal of the solvent was dissolved in a sodium hydrogen carbonate solution and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined ether layers were then washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, and evaporated to give crude N,N-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)methyl]-2-ethanolamine as an oil (1.8 g, 86%).

The crude diacylated product was dissolved in dichloromethane (200 mL) and triphenylphosphine (23.5 g, 89.6 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added. The solution was cooled to 0°C and solid N-bromosuccinimide (NBS; 15.95 g, 89.6 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was slowly added. The reaction was continued for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated to yield a semisolid residue, which was triturated three times with ether, and the remaining solid was separated. The combined ether layers were concentrated and passed through a column of silica using hexane/ether (5:1) as eluent, which resulted in a yellow oil (18.5 g, 82%). Rp= 0.26 (3:1 hexane/ether); δH NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.45 (s, 18H: tert-butyl CH3). 3.1 (t, 2H: BrCH2CH2N); δCH2CH2N (3.4 (t, 2H: BrCH2CH2CH2N)) 3.5 ppm (s, 4H: (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-CH2CH2N): ESI-MS: m/z eluted for C19H21BrN2O2 [M]: 373.3 [M+Na]+; found: 375.6.

Synthesis of 1. 1H Benzyl glycinate p-toluenesulfonate (2 g, 6 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in diethyl ether (40 mL) and an Na2CO3 solution (1.89 g, 18 mmol, 3 equiv) in water (40 mL) was added. The ether layer was separated from the water layer, dried, and evaporated to yield glycin benzyl ester (0.5 g). This product is very unstable, and was therefore immediately used in the next synthesis step. A phosphate buffer (10 mL, pH 8) was added to a solution of glycin benzyl ester (0.430 g, 2.6 mmol, 1 equiv) and N,N-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)methyl]-2-bromoethylamine (2 g, 5.7 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH2CN (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. The suspension was filtered and the organic layer was separated from the water layer, dried, and evaporated. To remove the remaining inorganic salts, the crude product was redissolved in chloroform, filtered, and evaporated again. The yellow oil was passed through a column of silica using a mixture of CHCl3/MeOH/H2O, 150:3:1
in eluent to afford 1.2 g of benzyl-protected product. Rf = 0.2 (5:1 chloroform/methanol).

The product was dissolved in methanol (10 mL), then Pd/C 5% (0.14 g) was added, and the suspension was stirred at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere for 24 h. The mixture was filtered over Millipore (0.45 μm). The yellow solvent was evaporated to yield a highly viscous yellow oil (0.08 g; 61%). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3); δ = 1.45 (s, 3H); tert-butyl CH3; 2.62 (t, 4H; NCH2COO), 3.0-3.1 (m, 4H; NCH2), 3.03 (s, 8H; NCH2COO), 3.99 (s, 4H; PhCH2CH2NH), 5.12 (s, 4H; PhCH2COO), 6.7-7.0 (m, 3H; Ph). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3); δ = 28.1 (CH2), 29.4 (CH), 40.9 (CH2), 52.2 (CH2), 53.8 (CH). 55.4 (CH2), 55.8 (CH), 71.3 (CH), 71.9 (CH), 71.9 (CH), 81.0 (CH), 115.4 (CH), 115.8 (CH), 121.7 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 137.5 (CH), 147.4 (C), 149.0 (C), 170.6 (CO), 170.47 ppm (CO); EI-MS: m/z for C8H7NO2 [M+H]+; 192.1 [M+Na]+; found: 197.1 [M+H]+, 192.2 [M+Na]+. 

Synthesis of tert-butyl-phenyl-protected catechol derivative: Product 3 was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL), and Pd/C 5% (0.5 g) was added. The suspension was stirred at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere for 24 h. The mixture was filtered over Celite and evaporated to yield a yellow oil. The mixture was then dissolved in dioxane (10 mL) and 1.04 g of sodium hydroxide was added. The mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h. The mixture was then filtered and washed with water. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (10:1 chloroform/methanol).

The purity of the product was determined by NMR and mass spectrometry. The product was used in further experiments without further purification.
average of at least three independent measurements. Quantum yields were determined by comparative method using a solution of quinine sulfate (Fluka) in 1N H2SO4 (Q=54.6%) as standard; estimated error ±20%.[40]

Model: The model was built using Avogadro.[41] The central part that contained Ti5+ and three 1,2-dihydroxybenzene molecules and the arms including Gd3+ were first optimized separately with the universal force field (UFF). The 1,2-dihydroxybenzene parts of the central part and the arms were overlaid and the entire complex was reoptimized with UFF using Open Babel.

**NMR spectroscopy:** 1H NMR spectroscopic measurements of solutions were performed on samples (350 μL) placed in 5 mm external diameter tubes using a Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). Temperature was regulated by air or nitrogen flow control using a Bruker BVT 3200 unit. 19F transverse relaxation times of distilled water (pH 5.5-7) were measured using a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence and a subsequent two parameters fit of the data the Fox model. The 90° and 180° pulse periods were 27.5 and 55 μs, respectively. 1H T1 of water in complex solution was obtained from line-width measurements. All spectra were proton decoupled. The concentration of [(Gd)]1,2(H2O)6]3+ was equal to 3.12 mM (9.37 mM for Gd3+). The data are presented as the reduced transverse relaxation rate (1/T1r=5.55+ (1/T1r)*q×[Gd])], in which [Gd] is the molar concentration of the complex Gd(q),q is the number of coordinated water molecules, and 1/T1r is the paramagnetic transverse relaxation rate. The treatment of the experimental data was performed as described previously.[42]

**Proton NMRD:** Proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profiles were measured using a Bruker Avance 500 MHz (fast field cycling) NMR spectrometer (Stellar, Med PVT, India) over a field gradient strength range that extended from 0.24 mT to 0.7 T. Measurements were performed on 0.6 mL samples contained in 10 mm (outside diameter) pyrex tubes. Additional relaxation rates at 20, 60, and 300 MHz were respectively obtained using a Minispec mq30, a Minispec mq60, and a Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). The proton NMRD curves were fitted using data-processing software,[43] including different theoretical models that describe the nuclear relaxation phenomena (Minispec, CERN Library).[44,45]
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Let's get photophysical: This work deals with the design and investigation of relaxometric and photophysical properties of a self-assembled supramolecular complex that contains three Ln-DTPA-catechol (DTPA = diethylentriamine-N,N',N'',N''',N'''-penta-acetate) moieties around a central TiIV core (see figure).