Carbene–Metal–Amide Polycrystalline Materials Feature Blue Shifted Energy yet Unchanged Kinetics of Emission
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ABSTRACT: The nature of carbene–metal–amide (CMA) photoluminescence in the solid state is explored through spectroscopic and quantum-chemical investigations on a representative Au-centered molecule. The crystalline phase offers well-defined coplanar geometries—enabling the link between molecular conformations and photophysical properties to be unravelled. We show that a combination of restricted torsional distortion and molecular electronic polarization blue shift the charge-transfer emission by around 400 meV in the crystalline versus the amorphous phase, through energetically raising the less-dipolar S1 state relative to S0. This blue shift brings the lowest charge-transfer states very close to the localized carbazole triplet state, whose structured emission is observable at low temperature in the polycrystalline phase. Moreover, we discover that the rate of intersystem crossing and emission kinetics are unaffected by the extent of torsional distortion. We conclude that more coplanar triplet equilibrium conformations control the photophysics of CMAs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbene–metal–amides (CMAs) are a large family of organometallic donor–bridge–acceptor photoemitters that are promising candidates for thin-film light-emitting diodes.1–6 CMA1, as the archetype of this group of molecules, employs cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbene (CAAC) acceptor and carbazole (Cz) donor bridged by a gold (Au) atom, showing high photoluminescence quantum efficiency (80–90%) in solid films from states involving electron transfer from donor to acceptor, good chemical stability, and fast intersystem crossing.1–6 The σ-donating nature of the carbene ligand leads to a large permanent electrostatic dipole moment in the ground state. Photoluminescence mainly occurs via the triplet charge-transfer (CT) state and is thermally activated, with a characteristic activation energy around 70–80 meV and submicrosecond characteristic emission lifetime in both solution-processed and sublimed devices at 300 K.2,7

CMA1, in common with many CMA materials, exhibits a minimum in the energetic ground state with donor and acceptor ligands approximately coplanar, while the excited singlet minimum of the isolated molecule occurs when donor and acceptor ligands are approximately orthogonal,2,8–11 indicative of twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) character. A range of emission energies and conformations are therefore accessible between the ground-state and excited-state minima, because in the solid state the molecules encounter different steric and dielectric environments. Significant differences in the photophysics are hence expected when varying the environment of the molecules, as increasing torsion angle leads to reductions in oscillator strength, exchange energy, and molecular symmetry.12–14 The search for next-generation electroluminescent materials requires that we understand the impact of these changes, in order to design materials with "ideal" photophysical characteristics. Such material design is predicated on resolving the interplay between emitter conformation and environmental embedding, which is the issue motivating this investigation.

Multiple models now exist for how torsional motion impacts triplet emission in TADF materials in general and CMAs in particular. Föllmer and Marian suggested that coupling between S1 and T1 is dependent on molecular geometry and at 300 K is spin-vibronic in nature, while low-temperature phosphorescence involves direct spin–orbit coupling via an S2 state.9 Further calculations by Penfold et al. concluded that indirect spin–orbit coupling (SOC) (i.e., S1→Tn→T1) assisted by torsional motion impacts the rate of triplet harvesting.12 Taffet et al. found from multireference orbital-optimization calcu-
lations that despite the fact that torsional motion is able to narrow the CT $S_1$−$T_1$ energy gap, a concomitant decrease in oscillator strength and spin−orbit coupling occurs. They concluded that the distortion of the carbene carbon−metal−amide nitrogen central axis followed by the carbene carbon−nitrogen bond stretching facilitates intersystem crossing (ISC). Current models of thermally activated emission in nitrogen bond stretching facilitates intersystem crossing amide nitrogen central axis followed by the carbene carbon−nitrogen bond stretching facilitates intersystem crossing (ISC). Current models of thermally activated emission in nitrogen bond stretching facilitates intersystem crossing (ISC).

Here we realize an experimental method to achieve polycrystalline thin films of CMA materials, allowing the link between geometry and photophysics to be probed directly by experiment. We find that torsional restriction together with molecular polarization significantly blue shift the photo-luminescence. In spite of this emission-energy blue shift, emission kinetics remain constant across material phases—a phenomenon we ascribe to the partially twisted nature of the triplet geometric optimum that remains accessible under conditions of frustrated nuclear reorganization. On the other hand, the singlet potential is modified significantly by crystallization, such that its energetic minimum lies proximal to that of the nearly coplanar triplet. Thus, crystallization pushes the density of emissive states closer to that of phosphorescent, carbazole-based, triplets. The photophysical behavior, in turn, is largely unchanged in the solid state—dominated by the kinetics of spin crossover at more coplanar conformations associated with the relaxed triplet.

Consequently, we find a constant activation energy for delayed luminescence. We interpret this as corresponding to the exchange energy at a partially twisted triplet geometry. Thus, while the absolute value of emission energy is dependent on the environment, the relative energy between the lowest-lying singlet and triplet states—the exchange splitting—is determined by molecular conformation, and it is this splitting which primarily influences radiative triplet decay. Experimental spectroscopy and quantum chemistry are reconciled under the physical picture that constrained torsional distortion leads to a singlet minimum-energy conformation resembling that of the triplet, which appears unperturbed by the solid-state environment.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Thin-Film Crystallization. Although single crystals and crystalline powders offer well-defined geometries, they are typically optically thick and difficult to assess using thin-film characterization techniques and unsuitable for incorporation into devices and device-like thin films. We therefore first describe a method to produce thin films of crystallized CMA1 hosted in a plasticizing poly[ethyl ene oxide] (PEO) matrix. PEO is a polar matrix with averaged dipole moment per constitutional repeating unit of around 1 D at 25 °C. A range of PEO variants with weight-average molecular weights ($M_w$) from 2000 to 8 000 000 Da were explored. The glass transition temperatures for PEO in this range of polymerization are all below 0 °C, allowing it to act a thin-film plasticizer at ambient temperature. For the structural and photophysical characterization detailed below, we employed PEO with $M_w$ of 100 000 Da since spin-cast films offer appropriate optical density (O.D.) for photophysical characterizations. Qualitatively similar results were obtained for all $M_w$ in this range. CMA1 was mixed at 80 wt % in PEO in chlorobenzene solution. Spin coating at 1200 rpm for 40 s on fused quartz substrates (Spectrosil) resulted in thin films exhibiting green photo-luminescence. Upon annealing on a hot plate at 120 °C for 1 min, photoluminescence progressively shifts to blue, with conversion to the blue-emitting phase essentially complete after 20 s at 120 °C; see Video S1. No regions of residual green emission are observed.

Figure 1a presents the XRD pattern of as-cast and annealed CMA1 thin films. As-cast samples exhibit little diffraction signal, and we consider these to comprise largely amorphous material. After annealing, samples show strong diffraction peaks at 8.2, 8.7, and 10.3° which match with diffraction peaks assigned to the (002), (100), and (101) planes in polycrystalline powder samples. The crystalline phase corresponds to a largely coplanar geometry close to the ground-state minimum (torsion angle of 20°) with alternating head-to-tail alignment of CMA molecules. The PEO matrix also shows partial crystallization after annealing, with two peaks at 19.2 and 23.4° as reported in the literature and assigned to the (120) planes and overlapping reflections from the (032), (132), (112), (212), (124), (204), and (004) planes. We thus consider that the soft, polar, PEO environment enables nucleation and growth of CMA crystals to create a thin film of polycrystalline...
Figure 2. (a) Steady-state absorption and normalized photoluminescence spectra of CMA1 in toluene solution (1 mg/mL) and amorphous and crystalline CMA1 thin films. (b) Room temperature time-resolved photoluminescence peak energy of amorphous and crystalline CMA1 to track the spectral diffusion. Solid lines are results of Monte Carlo simulation. Dotted lines are the room temperature emission integral. (c) Normalized delayed emission kinetics with monoexponential fits to crystalline and amorphous CMA1 within the 5−4000 ns time range. Lifetimes of 1.05 and 0.79 μs are yielded respectively for the crystalline and amorphous phases. (d) Cryogenic emission integral of crystalline CMA1 at a temperature series with 1−(1/e) labeled as the characteristic luminescence lifetime. "Initial" data taken at 300 K before cooling the film to 10 K, "Final" data upon warming back to 300 K after low-temperature measurements. (e) Cryogenic time-resolved PL peak position of crystalline CMA1 at 10, 150, and 300 K. Lines are results of Monte Carlo simulation. (f) PL decay rate and integrated PL intensity of crystalline and amorphous CMA1 at different temperatures as a function of 1/Temperature. PL decay rate is the reciprocal of characteristic luminescence lifetime from the cryogenic emission integral. Fitting an Arrhenius-type model (y = A_0 e^{(-E/AkT)}) to the data (lines) yields activation energies: $E_A$ (crystalline) = 65 meV, $E_{PL}$ (crystalline) = 42 meV, $E_A$ (amorphous) = 69 meV, $E_{PL}$ (amorphous) = 68 meV.
CMA1 embedded in an optically inert PEO matrix. Crystallization can also be induced in other matrices under harsher experimental conditions and/or over longer times, as detailed in Table S1. We will henceforth refer only to CMA1 crystallized within a PEO matrix.

Non-resonant Raman spectra of as-cast and annealed CMA1:PEO samples are shown in Figure 1b. Since the molecular species are identical, spectral differences represent changes in vibrational freedom within different forms. Low-frequency rotation between donor and acceptor is revealed at low Raman shift peaks (<200 cm\(^{-1}\)), which sharpen significantly in the crystalline phase. We interpret this as a decrease in conformational disorder associated with crystallization. Just as important as the conformational restriction is the dynamic polarization conferred by the induced dipoles of the surrounding CMA1 molecules in the solid state. The unique environment conferred by crystallization manifests in Raman intensity reduction of the carbene—nitrogen bond-stretching mode (∼1500 cm\(^{-1}\)), complemented by enhancement of the carbene bending modes at ∼1000 and ∼1300 cm\(^{-1}\). As such, the environment nontrivially influences the molecular polarizability tensor, meaning that considerations of molecular relaxation must be coupled with considerations of the surrounding environment.

2.2. Steady-State Spectroscopy. Figure 2a presents the steady-state absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of amorphous and crystallized CMA1 thin films and CMA1 in toluene solution. The broad absorption peak at around 390 nm for films and 405 nm for solution represents direct excitation to the singlet charge-transfer (CT) state while higher-energy peaks are associated with CAAC/Cz locally excited (LE) states and their vibronic progressions: 370 nm (short-axis-polarized Cz transition), 305 nm (long-axis-polarized Cz transition), and 270 nm (transitions from both the CAAC acceptor and Cz donor).

In the crystalline phase, the oscillator strengths of intraligand transitions at 305 and 270 nm are highly suppressed. This is consistent with the short-axis-polarized Cz singlet 370 nm transition inheriting oscillator strength from the linear on-axis antialignment of CMA1 dipoles in this phase. In addition, the CT absorption feature narrows, consistent with a reduction in both conformational and electrostatic disorder leading to a narrower density of states (DoS). The photoluminescence peak of CMA1 in toluene solution is around 525 nm, in amorphous film around 520 nm, and in crystallized film 450 nm. The crystalline phase therefore exhibits a substantial blue shift of 400 meV relative to the toluene solution and 370 meV relative to the amorphous film. This blue shifted emission in the crystalline phase was also observed in other organic emitters. The Stokes shift, measured from CT absorption to CT emission, which represents twice the reorganization energy induced by conformational relaxation from \(S_1\) to \(S_0\) in a harmonic picture of potential energy surfaces, decreases from 0.7 eV in solution to 0.44 eV in crystal. This implies smaller structural changes in the crystal during the excited-state relaxation process. The emission spectrum of crystalline material shows negligible contribution from the green-emitting amorphous phase. The photoluminescence quantum efficiency of the crystallized thin film was measured to be 55%.

2.3. Time-Resolved Spectroscopy. Figure 2b shows the room temperature time-resolved photoluminescence peak energy and integrated emission with time. The energy offset between the amorphous and crystalline CMA1 is large, around 350 meV before 4 µs, beyond which PL of the crystalline sample rapidly red shifts to an amorphous-like emission energy. We interpret this as a small contribution to emission from remnant noncrystalline CMA1 that might be initially photoexcited, leading to a long-lived tail in cumulative counts after 4 µs. The long lifetime of this emission implies that molecular conformations exist in the amorphous sample that are detrimental to rapid triplet harvesting—and that these are absent in the purely crystalline phase.

Figure 2c presents the normalized emission kinetics. By monoexponential fitting to the 5 ns–4000 ns region of the emission kinetics (corresponding to the period of blue emission in the crystalline sample), a luminescence lifetime of 1.05 µs is yielded for crystalline CMA1 at room temperature and 0.79 µs for the amorphous phase. By comparison, emission kinetics in deoxygenated toluene solution are monoexponential with a lifetime 1.1 µs. Energy relaxation to a quasi-equilibrium within the DoS is observed for solid samples, with the degree of relaxation smaller for crystalline CMA1 (around 93 meV compared to 136 meV in amorphous films, see Figure 2b). This indicates a narrower DoS for crystalline films, consistent with absorption and Raman spectroscopy. A broad excited-state DoS may originate from both variations in molecular conformation and from the relative polarization of the environment around individual chromophores, which varies with their separation and relative orientation. In amorphous films, these parameters are randomly distributed, resulting in a Gaussian distribution of polarization-induced energy shifts.

At low temperature, characteristic luminescence lifetimes (taken for cumulative emission to reach 63% (1 − (1/e)) of the total) increase by approximately a factor of 50 for both crystalline and amorphous CMA1, from 1.6 to 94.2 µs for crystalline and 0.97 to 60.2 µs for amorphous samples at 10 K, see Figures 2d and S1. The activation energies extracted from an Arrhenius fit to the PL decay rate of both samples are similar, 65 and 69 meV, as shown in Figure 2f. This value agrees nicely with the computed exchange splitting of 71 meV at the CMA1 triplet optimized geometry from state-averaged multireference orbital optimization (Supporting Information).

Note that the characteristic activation energies inferred from the integrated PL intensity show a lower value for the crystallized sample of 42 meV compared to 68 meV for the amorphous sample, indicating an interplay between thermally activated radiative and nonradiative decay rates for the crystalline sample.

PL from the CT state blue shifts with decreasing temperature, consistent with a narrowing of the thermally broadened DoS toward coplanar conformers, a reduction in electrostatic disorder due to thermal motion, and arrested spectral relaxation; see Figure 2e. Below 150 K, the blue shift of the CT state in crystalline material uncovers structured emission, which we assign to phosphorescence from triplets localized to the carbazole ligand; see Figure S3. By comparison to structured phosphorescence observed in frozen MeTHF (Figure S4), we estimate the localized carbazole triplet energy to be approximately 2.95 eV above the ground state. By contrast, in amorphous CMA1, the carbazole triplet cannot be accessed from the relaxed CT state, and no structured emission is observed even at low temperature. The presence of parasitic emission from the localized carbazole triplet precludes direct comparison of CT phosphorescence rates between crystalline and amorphous samples.
Turning to triplet dynamics, the spectral migration shown in Figure 2b,e is modeled by considering a Monte Carlo simulation of 3D triplet diffusion through a Gaussian density of states, as described by Movaghar et al.27 To reproduce the trends observed, the width of the amorphous-phase DoS (48 meV) is found to be larger than for the crystalline phase (30 meV), as expected. A Marcus-type activated hopping probability (eq S3) is required to reproduce the observed temperature dependence and yields a lower characteristic reorganization energy ($\lambda$) of 140 meV for transport in the crystalline phase, corresponding to an activation energy of $E_a = \lambda/4 = 35$ meV, compared to 240/60 meV in the amorphous phase.

Figure 3a–c presents the transient absorption (TA) spectra of CMA1 in toluene (1 mg/mL), neat amorphous CMA1 film, and crystalline CMA1 film on picosecond–nanosecond time scales. The intensity is shown as $\Delta T/T$, the fractional change in transmission. Samples were pumped at 400 nm under a 120 $\mu$W pump power for films and 100 $\mu$W for solution. (d) Normalized deconvoluted singlet kinetics of the solution, amorphous, and crystalline phases. The intersystem crossing time of each sample is estimated by the crossover of singlet and triplet kinetics labeled by spots, around 5 ps for all samples. Solid lines are a guide to the eye. The triplet growth kinetics is not included for clarity. Full kinetics are shown in Figure S6. Spectral deconvolution is achieved by isolating covarying regions of the TA spectra by iterative application of a genetic algorithm. The full details of this approach are described in refs 25 and 26. Singular value decomposition of the TA maps reveal two dominant components. As such, the genetic algorithm was restricted to find two independent time-varying species.

**Figure 3.** Room temperature transient absorption spectra of (a) CMA1 in toluene at 1 mg/mL, (b) the neat amorphous CMA1 film, and (c) the crystalline CMA1 film on picosecond–nanosecond time scales. The intensity is shown as $\Delta T/T$, the fractional change in transmission. Samples were pumped at 400 nm under a 120 $\mu$W pump power for films and 100 $\mu$W for solution. (d) Normalized deconvoluted singlet kinetics of the solution, amorphous, and crystalline phases. The intersystem crossing time of each sample is estimated by the crossover of singlet and triplet kinetics labeled by spots, around 5 ps for all samples. Solid lines are a guide to the eye. The triplet growth kinetics is not included for clarity. Full kinetics are shown in Figure S6. Spectral deconvolution is achieved by isolating covarying regions of the TA spectra by iterative application of a genetic algorithm. The full details of this approach are described in refs 25 and 26. Singular value decomposition of the TA maps reveal two dominant components. As such, the genetic algorithm was restricted to find two independent time-varying species.

2.4. Computational Simulations. To understand these results, we turn to quantum-chemical simulations of the CMA1 crystal environment. The crystal environment constitutes long-range order with intermolecular packing representative of a thermodynamic minimum-energy configuration of ground-state molecules, which can be approximated as a cluster of molecules with relative orientation determined by the crystal structure. We therefore consider a cluster with an embedded CMA1 molecule flanked on either side of a one-dimensional array by anti-aligned CMA1 dipoles and packed in other dimensions by neighboring molecules in the cell as shown in Figure 4. Incorporating this environment of molecules leads to a physical hindrance of intramolecular torsion (a classical effect) and electronic polarization of the excitations (a
quantum effect) in the embedded CMA1 molecule, combining to blue shift the $S_1$–$S_0$ transition energy, as described below.

2.4.1. Conformational Frustration in the Crystal. We analyze the results of $S_1$-state geometry optimization performed either in the vacuum phase or in the crystalline environment. In the latter case, we apply an embedding quantum-mechanics/molecular-mechanics (QM/MM) scheme to account for possible frustration effects in the solid (see Methods for details). As a matter of fact, the geometry optimizations alone suggest that photoluminescence blue shifting may be due in part to hampered nuclear reorganization—restricted intramolecular torsion caused by the steric hindrance within the CMA1 crystalline cluster. While full rotation to an orthogonal torsional angle is predicted for a single-molecule $S_1$ state optimization in the gas phase, a retention of effective coplanarity (around 20° torsional angle) is instead obtained for the $S_1$ geometry of CMA1 in its simulated cluster environment. Frustrated torsion manifests as a stagnation of the $S_1$–$T_1$ energy gap following bond stretching within the initial optimization steps leading to the converged geometry. Thus, the energetic stabilization initially appears equivalent in the crystal and vacuum phases before diverging upon activation of torsional modes in the vacuum, which relaxes the $S_1$ ($^1$CT) state to the point of effective degeneracy with the $T_1$ ($^1$CT) state. This is in contrast to the finite, albeit small, $S_1$–$T_1$ energy gap retained throughout crystal-phase $S_1$ optimization. Moreover, the oscillator strength remains non-negligible in the minimum-energy conformation of the crystal $S_1$ state. In contrast, the oscillator strength is diminished to essentially zero following torsion in the vacuum optimization. Ultimately, the (simulated) loss of additional reorganization energy from the suppressed torsional degrees of freedom raises the $S_1$ energy relative to that of $S_0/T_1$ at an emissive geometry featuring enhanced $S_1$–$S_0$ oscillator strength.

2.4.2. Electronic Polarization in the Crystal. Due to a more pronounced dipole moment in the ground-state electronic configuration, the polarization energy from the surrounding CMA1 environment also leads to blue shifted emission. We measure polarization energy in molecular clusters extracted from the crystalline phase but now adopting a full quantum-chemical model, combining the Tamm-Dancoff-approximate time-dependent density functional theoretical (TDA-DFT) framework with a long-range asymptotically correct LC-$\omega$-PBE tuned DFT functional (see Methods). It is indeed critical to include the dynamic (electronic) polarization response of the “solute” to the varying ground- or excited-state charge distribution of the surrounding “solvent”, and this requires either a polarizable classical force field or a full quantum treatment, which is preferred here. The TDA-DFT/LC-$\omega$-PBE results in Table 1 agree with experiment on two major fronts.

Table 1. Emission Energy ($\Delta E$) and Color in the Simulated Crystal Phase Compared to Vacuum at the TDA(LC-$\omega$-PBE/def2-SVP) Level of Theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>system/geometry</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (nm)</th>
<th>emission color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>crystal/$S_1$</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>dark blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacuum/$S_1$</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>reddish orange</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First, the emission-energy result in the vacuum phase (1.92 eV, 646 nm) bounds the experimental dilute-solution emission energy from below—understandable in light of the destabilizing effect a polarizable solvent has on the emission relative to having no polarizable environment at all. Second, the computed blue shift in the crystal emission energy (2.84 eV, 436 nm) of 0.92 eV positions the crystal emission spectrum within the blue color range as observed experimentally.

2.4.3. Amorphous Phase. In between the crystal and solution results is the amorphous-phase emission peak energy that can be thought of as emission from an ensemble of rotamers due to the conformational disorder inherent to kinetic, rather than thermodynamic, control of this phase. Here, we resort to force-field molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to sample the conformational space explored by the molecules in a completely amorphous solid; see Methods for details. Room-temperature MD simulations suggest that the molecules can adopt a broad distribution of torsion angles in the ground state, directly reflecting the inhomogeneous environment and lower density of the amorphous phase (~1.37 g cm$^{-3}$, compared to 1.53 g cm$^{-3}$ in the crystal, see Methods). As ab initio MD simulations in the excited state are prohibitive, we rather performed excited-state geometric relaxation considering the limiting cases of either orthogonal or coplanar orientations. The corresponding lower and upper bounds of the broadened $S_1$ amorphous-phase emission can then be straightforwardly ascertained by considering spherical clusters of physically reasonable density surrounding a central embedded molecule constrained to that conformation. The simulation results, depicted in Figure S7, indicate that these extrema, computed to be at 2.38 eV for the orthogonal orientation and 2.76 eV for the coplanar orientation, fill the gap between the solution- and crystal-phase emission peak energies. The slightly smaller transition energy (2.76 vs 2.84 eV) obtained for the planar molecule in the amorphous versus crystalline films provides a direct measure for the reduced electrostatic stabilization associated with the lower density in the amorphous phase. From these simulations, it is apparent that a combination of geometric (conformational) control and enhanced polarization energy in the ordered crystalline phase leads to the most pronounced photoluminescence blue shift. We note that the extent of the polarization-induced $S_1$–$S_0$ energetic blue shift determines the viability of $S_1$–$T_1$. 

Figure 4. Illustration of a molecular cluster used to simulate the crystal environment where an embedded CMA1 molecule is flanked on either side of a one-dimensional array by antialigned CMA1 dipoles and packed in other dimensions by neighboring molecules in the cell.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c01363
Chem. Mater. 2020, 32, 4743–4753
population transfer, where $T_{Cz}$ is the carbazole-centered LE triplet state, since polarization pushes the $S_1$ state closer in energy to the marginally affected locally excited triplet that represents $T_1$ in the quantum-chemical calculations.

2.4.4. Energy Landscape for Direct and Reverse Intersystem Crossing. Nuclear reorganization in the crystalline singlet excited state (a 21.5° out-of-plane twist) is found to differ negligibly from that in the crystalline/vacuum triplet excited state (19.5°, 26.5°) due to torsional restriction. In other words, the potential minimum of $S_1$ is pushed closer to that of $T_1$ in the crystalline phase (averaging decreased $S_1-T_1$ spin–orbit coupling; see Table S3). As such, the triplet reorganization energy can be gleaned from an all-QM TDA calculation of the simulated crystal phase at the $S_1$ optimized geometry. A triplet reorganization energy for exciton diffusion $\lambda = 0.1$ eV, taken as half the excitation-energy difference of $S_0-T_1$ at the ground-state and excited-state geometries, is recovered. This value is in agreement with the experimental result of 0.14 eV and is an anticipated underestimation, given the outstanding nuclear reorganization in $T_1$ that is not considered from minimizing $S_1$. It should be mentioned that this reorganization energy is attributable mostly to intramolecular bond-stretching modes that are active in all environments. Moreover, when considered alongside the similar activation energies measured for crystal-phase and amorphous-phase emission, this result strongly suggests that complete torsional distortion to achieve the largest reorganization energy of 0.4 eV (as computed for $S_1$ at the orthogonal minimum-energy geometry) is not necessary for TADF.

Furthermore, not only is such a large-amplitude change in the torsion angle not required, but it is also very much undesired, as the direct spin–orbit coupling (SOC) matrix element $\langle S_1|H_{SO}|T_1 \rangle$ driving RISC is substantial ($\sim 4$ cm$^{-1}$) at the close-to-planar triplet geometry, 31,32 which possesses relatively low symmetry, but decreases by a factor $\sim 20$ in the higher symmetry orthogonal conformation, 35 in line with theoretical prediction. 24,33 This dramatic reduction in direct $S_1-T_1$: SOC is fully consistent with El-Sayed’s rule and the fact that the states involved should have different spatial wave functions for the total (angular plus spin) momentum to be conserved. This turns out to be the case in the sterically constrained solid-state environments but would not be the case for fully twisted molecules. We thus conclude that a partial twist on the triplet potential leads to reverse intersystem crossing without an energetic spin-state inversion, in line with the theoretical prediction by Föller and Marian and Penfold.9,11

The $T_1$ reorganization energy, computed to be 0.1 eV, not only leads to a narrower energy gap between the relaxed $T_1$ state of the embedded molecule and neighboring molecular triplets—thereby facilitating triplet diffusion—but also leads to a narrowed gap between the relaxed $S_1$ state and the $T_{Cz}$ carbazole triplet on the same embedded molecule. As such, we propose a bifurcation of the $S_1$ population into the $T_1$ and $T_{Cz}$ populations at the relaxed crystalline $S_1$ geometry, as summarized in Figure 5. Frustrated nuclear reorganization and molecular polarization lead to an $S_1$ computed emission energy of 2.84 eV-0.17 eV above the $T_1$ state (2.67 eV) and 0.26 eV below the $T_{Cz}$ state (3.10 eV). As thermally activated delayed fluorescence in the crystal suggests that a ~0.2 eV energy gap can be surmounted, the likely reason for isolated $T_{Cz}$ emission in the crystal is kinetic trapping stemming from constrained coplanarity. At the limit of completely hampered torsional distortion, quantum-chemical calculations suggest that $T_{Cz}$ phosphorescence may appear spectrally “uncovered” below the $S_1$ emission energy. This $T_{Cz}$ state is a local excitation polarized along the carbazole long axis, leading to a large exchange splitting from the ~305 nm singlet excitation in the solid state (exchange-splitting data can be found in Table S3).

3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we developed a method to crystallize CMA1 compounds in situ using a polar, low glass-transition-temperature matrix and achieved nearly complete crystallization of the entire thin film. Consequently, we are able to explore the impact of molecular geometry in a controlled manner, contrasting intersystem crossing and emission from constrained coplanar geometries with the behavior of relaxed rotamers. We find that, in the crystalline phase, energetic disorder and the reorganization energy for triplet diffusion are reduced. Crystallization also leads to significantly blue-shifted charge-transfer emission. At low temperature, this shift is sufficient to allow observation of structured emission from triplets localized on the carbazole ligand. We explore these results using QM/MM calculations and find that a combination of restricted torsional relaxation and enhanced electrostatic interactions lead to the modification of the $1^1CT$ potential such that the carbazole excited state is the equilibrium geometry, resulting in a blue shifted emission peak energy. Because quantum-chemical calculations suggest that the thermal activation energy for CT emission in the absence of spectral migration may be attributed to the exchange energy pushing $S_1$ above $T_1$ at a more coplanar triplet geometric optimum, the activation energy is not appreciably altered by the material phase—that is, spin crossover does not rely on interligand twisting to orthogonality. Similarly, while photoinduced absorption spectra in crystalline, amorphous, and solution phases are distinct, the rate of intersystem crossing appears unaffected by the $S_1$ equilibrium conformation. We therefore infer that the fairly constant activation energy derived from temperature-dependent photolumines-
cence spectroscopy reflects the fixed exchange splitting inherent to an unmodified, more coplanar T₁ conformational distribution.

Remarkably, we find, that at 300 K, emission rates from coplanar crystalline materials are very similar to those observed in the amorphous phase and in isolated, relaxed molecules in solution, despite a 400 meV difference in CT energy. Torsional distortion, in turn, insignificantly impacts the photophysics of TADF organometallics. The real impact comes from an incompletely twisted S₁ distribution of conformers that stabilizes T₂, leading to spin–orbit-coupling kinetics that are uniform across CMA1 molecules in different material phases.

4. METHODS

4.1. Synthesis of Carbene Metal Amides. Carbene metal amides were synthesized as previously reported.4,31,33

4.2. Sample Preparation. Neat amorphous and crystalline thin films (80 wt % CMA1 in PEO) were made from chlorobenzene solution in 20 mg/mL concentration. These well-mixed solutions were spun inside a nitrogen filled glovebox onto precleaned spectroscopy substrates at 1200 rpm for 40 s at room temperature to form thin films. Samples were stored in a nitrogen glovebox to minimize degradation. Solution samples of various solvents were prepared as 1 mg/mL in the nitrogen glovebox, deoxygenated, and sealed in 1 mm path-length and Q5-grade quartz cuvettes.

4.3. UV–Vis–NIR Spectrophotometer. A Shimadzu UV-3600 Plus spectrophotometer was used to measure the steady-state absorbance of samples, which comprises three detectors: a PMT (photomultiplier tube) for the ultraviolet and visible regions and InGaAs and cooled PbS detectors for the near-infrared region. The detectable wavelength range is between 185 and 3300 nm with resolution of 0.1 nm.

4.4. Photoluminescence Spectrometer. An Edinburgh Instrument FLS980 spectrophotometer was used to measure steady-state luminescence spectra. A R928P PMT detector was used in this experiment, with a wavelength range of 200 to 870 nm and a dark count rate of <50 cps (at −20 °C). The detector is operated in single photon counting mode. The PL spectra of CMA1 were collected from 350 to 650 nm with the resolution of 1 nm. Samples were excited by a 450 W Xe1 xenon arc lamp. The light from the xenon arc is focused into the monochromators by using an off-axis ellipsoidal mirror. Absolute photoluminescence quantum efficiency measurements were taken using an integrating sphere under continuous nitrogen purge.

4.5. Temperature-Dependent Nanosecond–Millisecond Time-Resolved Photoluminescence Measurements. Time-resolved photoluminescence spectra were measured by an electrically gated intensified CCD (ICCD) camera (Andor iStar DH740 CCI-010) connected to a calibrated grating spectrometer (Andor SR303i). Samples were photoexcited by femtosecond laser pulses which were created by second harmonic generation (SHG) in a β-barium borate crystal from the fundamental source (wavelength = 800 nm, pulse width = 80 fs) of a Ti:Sapphire laser system (Spectra-Physics Solstice), at a repetition rate of 1 kHz. The photons from the laser pulses had a wavelength of 400 nm. A 425 nm long-pass filter was used to prevent the scattered laser signal from entering the camera. Temporal evolution of the PL emission was recorded by stepping the ICCD gate delay with respect to the trigger pulse. The minimum gate width of the ICCD was 5 ns. Cryogenic measurements were carried out using an Oxford Instruments Optistat continuous flow cryostat with liquid helium coolant and an FTC 502 S temperature control unit. The 1 kHz repetition rate of the laser used in this experiment precludes an accurate measure of lifetimes beyond 1 ms.

For nonexponential luminescence decays in the solid state, a characteristic lifetime rather than monoexponential decay time is quoted. We choose the time taken to reach 63% (1 − (1/e)) of the total emission integrated from 0 to 950 μs. This allows direct comparison to lifetimes extracted from monoexponential decays.

4.6. Monte-Carlo Simulation Procedure. A cubic lattice with 101 × 101 × 101 triplet sites, where site energies were drawn randomly from a Gaussian distribution, was employed for the Monte Carlo simulation. The initial triplet excitation was placed in the center of the lattice. The hopping rates to the nearest 125 neighbors were calculated using eq S3. The hopping probability to each site and the overall hopping time were computed with equation eq S4 and eq S5. The triplet excitation was allowed to jump to one of the 125 sites randomly according to the hopping probabilities, and the hopping time was added to the total simulation time. The procedures above were repeated until a predefined diffusion time was reached. The parametrization for Figure 2b,e is described in detail in the Supplementary Text.

4.7. Transient Absorption (TA) Spectroscopy. Solutions of CMA1 and PEO in chlorobenzene were made at 20 mg/mL concentrations, overnight heated at 70 °C to dissolve and mixed in the appropriate ratio (80 wt % CMA1). Films were drop-cast from solution, 60 μL per film on 13 mm quartz discs heated to 70 °C for neat films and 120 °C for crystalline films. Films were made and kept in a glovebox and encapsulated using a glass slide and epoxy prior to being measured. Drop-cast films had an optical density of 0.4 at 400 nm (the pump wavelength). The pump and probe originate from a Spectra Physics Solstice Ti:Sapphire laser, outputting pulses of width 80 fs and a repetition rate of 1 kHz at 800 nm. The pump beam was frequency-doubled using a BBO to give 400 nm pulses. Excitation fluence was varied from 9 to 60 μJ cm⁻² using neutral density filters. The probe beam was generated from the 800 nm fundamental using a noncollinear optical parametric amplifier, built-in-house. The probe was further split into a probe and reference, with only the probe beam overlapping with the pump on the sample. The pump-probe delay was controlled using a computer-controlled delay stage. A Hamamatsu G11608-S12 InGaAs dual-line array detector was used to measure the transmitted probe and reference.

4.8. X-Ray Diffraction. X-ray diffraction was performed using a Bruker X-ray D8 Advanced Diffractometer with Cu Kα₁ radiation (λ = 1.541 Å). Spectra were collected with an angular range 2θ of 6° to 30° and Δθ = 0.046°. Measurements were conducted on drop-cast films.

4.9. Raman Spectroscopy. Raman measurements were conducted by backscattering (HORIBA T64000) a 640 nm (CW diode) line with a subtractive triple stage. Spectra were collected between 13 and 1770 cm⁻¹ where the CCD detector (HORIBA Synapse Open-Electrode) has a monotonically increasing quantum efficiency of between 0.43 and 0.50. Acquisitions employed a 100× optical objective and used minimal laser intensity to avoid laser damage. Drop-cast film samples were measured in air at room temperature.

4.10. Computational Details. Gas-phase density functional theory (DFT) calculations of HOMO/LUMO of CMA1 were carried out by the global hybrid MN15 functional by Truhlar and co-workers33 in combination with the def2-TZVP basis set by Ahlrichs and co-workers.37,38 The relativistic effective core potential of 60 electrons was used to describe the core electrons of Au.39 The ground state was studied by DFT and the excited states by time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT).40 The employed method provides excited state energies that do not suffer from underestimation typical for TD-DFT,1,2 as indicated by our recent work on closely related molecules10,43 as well as by comparison to T₁ energies calculated by unrestricted DFT; the unrestricted and TD-DFT T₁ energies differed by only 0.004 eV. These calculations were carried out by Gaussian 16.44

TD-DFT calculations under the Tamm-Dancoff approximation (TDA) were performed using the def2-SVP basis set and a relativistic effective core potential for Au in the context of vertical excitation energies for the various simulated material phases and corresponding geometries. Starting geometric initial guesses were obtained from the CMA1 crystal structure. We used a DFT(PBE1PBE/def2-SVP)-optimized ground-state geometry in vacuo for absorption-excitation calculations with TDA(PBE1PBE/def2-SVP), taking into account the effect of chlorobenzene solvent using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) state-specific-solvation approach. We used a TDA-
(PBE1PBE/def2-SVP)-UFF hybrid QM:MM (ONIOM) optimized $S_1$ geometry for crystal emission-energy calculations, with the QM layer consisting only of the molecule being optimized to its $S_1$ geometry. The embedding molecules were kept frozen in their crystal-structure orientations. In the all-QM calculation of the simulated crystal phase, these frozen orientations, along with the optimized $S_1$ coordinates of the embedded molecule, were used. The total size of this simulated-crystal-phase supercell was 18 molecules (1620 atoms).

Nonsolution excitation energies were computed using all-QM TDA(LC-ω=0.172-PBE/def2-SVP) with the optimally tuned approach in the framework of Koopman's theorem for CMA1. The LC-ω-PBE functional can be used to mitigate self-interaction errors that artificially lower CT excitation energies (an orbital correction) and eliminate the overestimating effect of nonexact exchange at particle–hole separation lengths of greater than 1 nm. This approach is most useful for improving the accuracy of intramolecular CT excitation energies as found in CMA1. Improved accuracy is achieved through tuning this range-separated hybrid (RSH) functional that satisfies the asymptotic long-range 1/r distance dependence of electron–hole Coulombic interaction (Hartree–Fock exchange) while nevertheless incorporating DFT exchange for short-range interactions. It is only by turning to such RSH functionals that spurious low-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, turning to such RSH functionals that spurious low-lying intermolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be eliminated, thereby more properly revealing how the lowest-lying intramolecular CT excitations in the all-QM CMA1 cluster can be elim

---

**ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

**Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c01363.

Additional photophysical data, additional computational results, and commentary and details of computational methods (PDF)

Video of CMA1 crystallization (MP4)

---

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

**Corresponding Authors**

Dan Credgington – Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0003-4246-2118; Email: dan.credgington@gmail.com

David Beljonne – Laboratory for Chemistry of Novel Materials, University of Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium; orcid.org/0000-0002-2989-3557; Email: david.beljonne@umons.ac.be

**Authors**

Jiale Feng – Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

Elliot J. Taft – Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United States

Antti-Pekka M. Reponen – Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-2076-410X

Alexander S. Romanov – School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0003-2617-6402

Yoann Olivier – Unité de Chimie Physique Théorique et Structurale & Laboartoire de Physique du Solide, Namur Institute of Structured Matter, Université de Namur, 5000 Namur, Belgium

Vincent Lemaur – Laboratory for Chemistry of Novel Materials, University of Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium

Lupeng Yang – Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

Mikko Linnolahti – Department of Chemistry, University of Eastern Finland, FI-80101 Joensuu, Finland; orcid.org/0000-0003-0056-2698

Manfred Bochmann – School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-7736-5428

**Complete contact information is available at:** https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c01363

**Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.
Author Contributions

(J.F. and E.J.T.) These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Anna Köhler and Prof. Heinz Bässler for fruitful discussions. J.F. acknowledges his parents for Ph.D financial support. E.J.T. acknowledges support from the Belgian American Educational Foundation. D.C. acknowledges support from the Royal Society (Grant No. UF130278). A.-P.M.R. acknowledges support from the Royal Society (Grant No. RGF\EA\180041) and the Osk, Huttunen fund. M.B. acknowledges the ERC Advanced Investigator Award (Grant No. 338944-GOCAT). A.S.R. acknowledges support from the Royal Society (Grant No. URF\R1\180288 and RGF\EA\1811008). This work was supported by the EPSRC Cambridge NanoDTC, EP/L015978/1. M.L. acknowledges the Academy of Finland Flagship Programme, Photonics Research and Innovation (PREIN), decision 320166. (TD)DFT computations were made possible by use of the Finnish Grid and Cloud Infrastructure resources (urn:nb:nfi:research-infras-2016072533). Research in Mons is supported by FNRS-FRFC and Consortium des Equipements de Calcul Intensif (CECI). D.B. is the FNRS research director. The work in Mons was supported by the European Commission/Région Wallonne (FEDER – BIORGEF project), the Consortium des Equipements de Calcul Intensif (CECI), funded by the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS) under Grant No. 2.5020.11 as well as the Tier-1 supercomputer of the Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles, infrastructure funded by the Walloon region under Grant Agreement n1117545 and FRS-FNRS.

REFERENCES


(44) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R. Gaussian 16, Revision A. 03; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2016.
