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The manuscript has been revised according to the reviewer comments, based on new measurement on new samples. We have now additional material characterization (RBS, AFM, and resistivity) on similar samples. These new results were originally for another study, we thus only disclose critical information for the current work.

**Reviewer #1:**

I am disappointed with the authors' responses. Many of my comments are in fact easy to address but unfortunately, the authors seem refuse to make any additional measurements.

I therefore do not recommend the publication of this manuscript.

*Authors’ response:*

Parallel to the current work, we performed new deposition of CrN coating in GLAD configuration (for another work), at different deposition angle, in similar configuration than the current work. We carried out further characterization on sample similar the ones used for the present work (0, 45, 60, 75°). So, we have now additional material characterization (RBS, AFM, resistivity) on similar samples. These new results are for a new paper, so we only disclose critical information for the current work.

1. Q1. SEM surely is not "the only possible way to estimate the surface area". People have been using AFM and ellipsometry to determine the surface area/porosity of nitride thin films.

*Authors’ response:*

Yes, the reviewer is right, other methods are possible, but they are not really suitable in our case: we performed AFM measurements (see map below), but the size of the AFM tip is not representative of the electrolyte access to the active material. We only obtain the top surface area (and deep hole between columns are not taken into account). From AFM measurements (at least, in our setup), we obtained heights of 30-60nm, and a roughness (Ra) of 9.5, 7.6, 8.1 and 5.5 nm for 0, 45, 60 and 75°. These results are not in agreement with SEM measurements (we can see holes of 100-500nm).

Concerning ellipsometry, the porosity can be determined, but not the surface area, to the best of our knowledge. For the same porosity, the surface area can be drastically different.
Moreover, ellipsometry takes into account closed pores, which is not representative of the electrolyte access. The best method to determine the surface area would be based on adsorption method (BET, or ellipsometry combined with water adsorption), but we don’t have access to it, and the measurement on coating required large sample (to get accurate measurement).

In the present case, we are much more confident in SEM images and their direct observation, with qualitative observation, than AFM measurements.

We are still open to discuss this point if the reviewer disagree with our point of view.

2. Q2. If the authors did not measure the resistivity of their films, how do they know that "the resistivity was similar to other reported work on CrN"?

Authors’ response:

We have measured the resistivity of our coating, the values were not given in the previous version of the manuscript. We also measured the resistivity of the second set of sample, very close to the first one, with values of $5.5 \times 10^{-2}$, $1.2 \times 10^{-1}$, $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ and $6.9 \times 10^{-2}$ Ω.cm for 0, 45, 60 and 75° orientation. The value are in agreement with the literature ($\rho$ ranges from $3 \times 10^{-4}$ to 20 Ω.cm, see DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.165205 and DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.045116).

The increase of $\rho$ with the deposition angle is due to the higher oxygen incorporation in the coating (the deposition rate decreases, but the content of residual oxygen in the chamber remains constant, so it increases in the coating).

3. Q3. I understand that areal capacitance is more important in microdevices and that is why I only asked the authors to provide the mass loading in 3-electrode measurements. In this way, the readers can better understand how the intrinsic performance of the CrN in this work compares to other reported CrN. The mass loading can be roughly estimated by weighting the substrate before and after deposition, which should not be that difficult or "impossible".

Authors’ response:

The mass loading determined by weighing the sample before and after deposition is not accurate at all for such coating thickness (it works if we use a sample with very large surface). Quartz balance measurement during deposition is good, but still suffers from two major problems:

1. It assumes a bulk density of chromium nitride, and the coating is porous, so the mass loading is overestimated.
2. The setup of the quartz balance is good for measurement in front of the target, or slightly tilted. At higher angle, our device is not really adapted (the device starts to obstruct the flux of species).

As alternative, RBS (Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy) measurement have been performed on similar sample, and the density of the coating can be determined, with good accuracy, combining RBS and thickness measurement (check the supplementary information of Haye et al. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.07.009). Knowing the density and the surface of the coating, we can estimate the mass loading of active material. The density of such coating is around 4.5 g.cm$^{-3}$ (lower than bulk CrN, due to the presence of contaminant such as oxygen, that decrease the measured RBS density). Considering the volume of deposited coating, it gives a mass of $\approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ g for the MSC device.
### The information is now provided.

**Q4.** To be honest, I was surprised by this response. What is the temperature difference? How many samples have the authors tested? Are they showing constant results?

**Authors’ response:**

The measurement has been done in two steps, the break is due to the ON/OFF/ON of the setup. Two samples have been tested with similar results.

**Q5.** I have no idea why the authors showed me the calculation process. My concern is that the calculation that the authors used obviously overestimates the real capacitance. The slope method should be used only if the materials show capacitive properties (ideally rectangular-shaped CV curves and triangular-shaped CD curves, normally seen for carbons). The integrate method is a more appropriate way to determine the capacitance of CrN in this case, as the it has both contributions from capacitive and Faradaic reactions (the CD curve is nonlinear, and not symmetric neither).

**Authors’ response:**

Concerning the capacitance method calculation: The slope method was used when we study the influence of the current densities of the charge-discharge curves on the specific capacitance and the integration method (based on cyclic voltammograms CV area) when we study the influence scan rates on the specific capacitance. This method has been applied in numerous work (see ref below).


To avoid confusion, the following sentence has been added in the revised manuscript: “It is noteworthy to mention that the capacitance values versus current density given in this work can be overestimated. This is because we used the slop method to estimate the capacitance versus the current densities, while this method is accurate only for ideally rectangular-shaped CV curves and triangular-shaped CD curves and which is not the case for our electrodes". page 19

**Q6.** The authors did not test the CrN-45 for devices and how can they conclude that “the volumetric capacitance of CrN-60 is close to that of CrN-45”?

**Authors’ response:**

Yes, we did not test the CrN 45 for device, as it is mentioned in the manuscript. However, we determined the volumetric capacitance on silicon sample, with similar values of 454.5 and 389.9 F/cm³ for CrN45 and CrN60 sample.

**Reviewer #2:**

The authors have addressed all the necessary comments as advised by the referee. Hence I would like to recommend it for publication in Electrochimica Acta in the current form.

**Authors’ response:**

Thank for the review of our work.
**Reviewer #3:**
The authors have improved the manuscript considering most of the reviewer comments. They only have to check some English mistakes. To my opinion, the manuscript can be now accepted for publication.

**Authors’ response:**

*Thank for the review of our work. The mistakes have been corrected.*
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Highlights

- The apparent porosity of sputtered CrN films was improved by GLAD deposition

- A maximum specific capacitance of 35.4 mF cm\(^{-2}\) was achieved at 1.2 mA cm\(^{-2}\)

- On chip micro-supercapacitor (MSC) based on sputtered porous CrN material is fabricated

- The CrN-based MSC exhibited both high energy and power densities
Abstract

The enhancement of the surface area and ordering of mesopores is a key parameter to increase the specific capacitance of electrochemical capacitors (ECs). These parameters can improve the electrolyte accessibility to the active material in order to improve its charge storage. In this work, magnetron sputtering at glancing angle (GLAD) is used in order to enhance the porosity of CrN for use as electrode material in ECs. The GLAD technique consists on tilting the substrate according to the deposition flux allowing the formation of well-separated columns due to a ballistic shadowing effect. Four different tilts of 0°, 45°, 60° and 75° were explored. While the CrN films deposited at 0° or 75° do not show any capacitive behaviour, a high areal capacitance is obtained at 45° or 60° (35.4 mF.cm\(^{-2}\) at a current density of 1.2 mA.cm\(^{-2}\) in 0.5M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) electrolyte) with a good cycling stability over 10000 cycles. On chip interdigitated micro-supercapacitors (MSCs) were assembled with a maximum energy density of 2 µWh.cm\(^{-2}\) (15.3 mWh.cm\(^{-3}\)) at a power density of 20 µW.cm\(^{-2}\) (0.15 W.cm\(^{-3}\)). The GLAD strategy can be generalised to other materials deposited by physical vapour deposition techniques, for highly porous electrodes, with improved electrochemical energy storage properties.
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1. Introduction

Physical vapour deposition (PVD) techniques are widely used for material synthesis, in the form of films, for different applications including semiconductor technology, medical devices, smart coatings, or cutting tools [1–4]. Recently, there has been an impressive research effort toward the fabrication of electrodes deposited by PVD for energy storage devices such as Li-ions/micro-batteries [5,6] or electrochemical capacitors (ECs)/micro-supercapacitors (MSCs) [7–9]. In particular, in the case of ECs electrodes, the PVD techniques offer the alternative solution to most of the problems faced during processing of powder into packed films [6,8,9]. These problems consist of bad adhesion to the current collector, loss of accessible volume to the electrolyte and incompatibility with standard micro-fabrication protocols. Indeed, PVD can produce films with good adhesion, controlled thickness, composition and morphology [1–4,6,9,10]. Thus, using PVD to fabricate electrodes for ECs, and especially for micro-supercapacitors, would be an interesting alternative solution to most of the problems encountered during processing of powder into packed films.

Metal oxides including RuO$_2$ [11], MnO$_2$ [12] and transition metal nitrides such as TiN [13,14], VN [15], TiVN [16], RuN[17] and CrN [18,19], have been deposited by PVD methods for use as electrodes in ECs. In addition, the deposition parameters were also adjusted, in order to increase the porosity of the films, and thus, enhance their specific capacitance. For instance, nitrogen flux has been controlled in the case of TiN electrodes [13], while the pressure and deposition temperature have been adjusted in the case of VN [20] and CrN [18] electrodes in order to produce porous films with enhanced electrochemical energy storage. In this work, we used bipolar magnetron sputtering at glancing angle (GLAD)
as a new strategy, in the field of ECs, to improve the apparent porosity of CrN films. The
GLAD strategy simply entails substrate tilting with respect to the target during film
deposition. The GLAD method allows to modify the morphology of the deposited coating,
from dense to porous, and the formation of inclined columns. Such features have found
applications in optical devices (polarized light emitters), sensors devices (humidity sensors)
or energy devices (solar energy conversion) [21–23]. Herein, four different tilt angles of 0,
45, 60 and 75° were explored. The areal capacitance value of the resulting CrN electrodes can
reach capacitance values as high as 35.4 mF.cm⁻² at a current density of 1.2 mA.cm⁻², with a
stable cycle life exceeding 10,000 cycles. Moreover, the apparent porosity of the CrN
electrode can be controlled by tailoring the deposition angle inside the chamber during film
growth, which affects both the areal capacitance and power density of the electrodes. In
contrast to the method used in Ref [19] involving selective etching of Cu from CrCuN film in
order to obtain porous CrN films, our method is simple and consists in a single step process.
In addition, it is scalable and can be easily generalized for other types of materials deposited
by PVD techniques in order to obtain high porous electrodes for energy storage applications.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Synthesis of CrN films

The CrN thin films have been synthesized by combining magnetron sputtering and glancing
angle deposition (GLAD) in a 1m³ semi-industrial hexagonal chamber from D&M
Vacuumsystemen [2]. Two planar chromium targets (7.5 × 35 cm, 99.99% purity, from
Neyco) have been sputtered in an argon and nitrogen gas mixture, at respectively 150 and 120
sccm, with gas injected at the targets surface. The pressure was set at 5 mTorr (0.66 Pa) and
continuously adjusted with a throttle valve. The targets are sputtered in bipolar mode, using a
Magpuls QP-1000/20 10 kW pulse unit, at a mean current of 2 A, a duty cycle of 50% and a frequency of 2500 Hz. Silicon substrates were static and mounted in front of one cathode, with tilted substrate holder at 0, 45, 60 and 75°. Prior to the deposition of CrN film, an interface layer of Ti and Au with thickness of 80 nm is deposited. The Ti/Au layers were chosen as substrates for CrN deposition, because the device is made of gold as current collector deposited on Ti buffer layer (as explained in the supporting information). The role of the Ti buffer is the improvement of the gold adhesion on silicon. Therefore, in order to make an honest comparison between CrN film tested in the three electrode configurations and the CrN deposited on the device (made of gold current collector) we have chosen Ti/Si as a buffer in the case of CrN film in order to exclude any effect of the substrate concerning the resistivity and CV shapes.

2.2. Sample characterization

2.2.1 Structural and surface characterization

The top and cross section morphologies of the samples have been investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM 7500F microscope. The thickness of the samples has been measured both by cross-section observation in SEM and using a stylus profilometer (Bruker Dektak), both measurements being in good agreement. The structural characterization of the samples was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer working with the Cu Kα radiation (λ= 0.1546 nm) in the grazing incidence configuration (GA = 0.5°). The X-ray source voltage was fixed at 45 kV and the current at 40 mA. For surface chemical analysis, XPS measurements were carried out on a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer using a monochromatic Al Kα radiation (1486.68 eV) with a spot size of 250×250 μm. A flood gun was used for charge compensation
and the C1s line at 284.8 eV was used as a reference to correct the binding energies for charge energy shift. The resistivity of the film has been determined by four point probe measurements.

2.2.2. Electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical studies of the CrN electrodes were carried out using a potentiostat/galvanostat Metrohm-Autolab PGSTAT128N at room temperature in a typical three-electrode electrochemical cell. The cell is composed of two parts assembled face to face. The lower part has a copper current collector and the upper part is made of Teflon and filled with the electrolyte. It also has a hole at the bottom to enable the contact between the electrode and the electrolyte. The CrN sample was used as working electrode, Ag/AgCl as reference electrode and Pt foil as counter electrode. In this cell, only the side coated with CrN was in contact with the electrolyte (S = 0.5 cm$^2$). The other side of the samples was contacted with the copper current collector by using silver paste to ensure a good electrical contact.

Interdigitated CrN/CrN micro-supercapacitors were evaluated by connecting each electrode to the galvanostat/potentiostat while wetting the device with the H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte. The electrodes were characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge (GCD) in H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.5 M) aqueous solution. The charge transfer and ion diffusion properties study of the CrN thin film electrodes were conducted by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements. The EIS measurements were carried out in the frequency range of 100 kHz–10 mHz by plotting spectra using 10 points per frequency decade at open circuit potential (OCP) in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte at room temperature. The capacitance calculation details according to Ref [22,23] are given in the supplementary information.
In the present work, only areal and volumetric capacitance of the CrN deposits and the corresponding micro-devices are provided. It should be noted that the mass of the device has not a meaning because the most important is the area that is occupied by the device and not its mass [8].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Evaluation of CrN electrodes deposited at different angles

3.1.1. Structural and surface characterization

Figure 1 shows the cross section and top view SEM images of the CrN films deposited at different glancing incidence. All the samples exhibit a dense columnar structure, a typical morphology obtained under similar conditions [2,24], with small cubic crystallites growing normal to the surface. The tilting of the sample (defined by α, see supplementary information and Figure S1 for the geometrical considerations) leads to a (i) change of the morphology, (ii) a decrease of the thickness, from 1870 nm at 0° down to 1210 nm at 75° of incidence, and (iii) a global increase of the tilting angle of the columns β. The increase of β is observed up to α = 60°, while the columns are slightly less tilted than for α = 60°. Moreover, we observe a large difference between experimental β angles and those from predictive models (see Figure S2), even at low α angle. This evolution has already been reported by Besnard et al. [21], where a saturation of tilting angle occurs, and a discrepancy with the predictive model (see supplementary information). It is worth to mention that in our case, the nanostructures are deposited on silicon substrates, which means that material quantity available is very low, and therefore, in contrast to powder, the Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) analysis is not really suitable. The determination of the real surface area is difficult in this case, because of the small spaces between columns. AFM measurements have been performed, but are not
representative of the real surface that is accessible to the electrolyte (only the top surface area can be determined, due to the tip size), and are not presented.

Fig.1. Top view SEM images of CrN films (a) CrN0° (b) CrN45°, (c) CrN60° and (d) CrN75°. Cross section SEM images of CrN films (e) CrN0° (f) CrN45°, (g) CrN60° and (h) CrN75°.
The influence of the tilting angle is attributed to the use of non-punctual source: the presence of the racetrack modifies the ballistic regime of the sputtered species, and deposition of matter with non-ballistic regime, due to collision, occurs. It results in columns that are less tilted compared to thermal evaporation process or very low pressure deposition. Moreover, the use of dual bipolar magnetron sputtering (with two targets) has a drastic influence on the spatial and energy distribution of the species, increasing the non-ballistic regime contribution, and thus decreasing the column tilt angle $\beta$ even though the substrate holder is set in front of one cathode. These mechanisms are beyond the scope of the paper, and will be further investigated in a forthcoming paper.

Overall, the increase of tilt angle results in a more porous structure: at $0^\circ$, the structure is denser, without voids between columns (Figure 1a & e), and cubic crystallites of $\approx 100$ nm are observed on the top surface. Both CrN45° and CrN60° exhibit similar morphology, but a different tilting angle (respectively $10.8 \pm 0.8^\circ$ and $16.4 \pm 0.7^\circ$), with smaller cubic crystallites, about 50-100 nm (Figure 1f & g), and more open voids between the top of the columns. The increase of the incidence up to $75^\circ$ does not significantly affects the shape of the columns. However, it drastically reduces the crystallites size (<50 nm) which merge together to close open porosity as can be observed on the top surface from Figure 1h. The same evolution has recently been reported for TiN thin films [22]. Thus, even if the voids between columns are very similar for samples CrN45°, CrN60° and CrN75°, the accessible porosity will be drastically decreased for this last sample due to the close packed array of nanocrystallites emerging on the top surface, unlike the two other samples which still exhibit open porosity from SEM top views. These changes are attributed to the shadowing effect, which is more intense using a higher incidence [25,26]. The presence of the growing columns stops the line-of-sight flux of arriving species, creating shadow area that modifies the growth mechanism. It results in a lower mobility of adatoms and lower crystallite size, in addition to
the creation of voids between columns [27,28]. It is worth mentioning that the difference of
grain size as well as the separation of columns by voids and defects in each case can deeply
influence both the electrical and electrochemical performance (inter-columnar porosity)
behaviour of CrN electrodes deposited at different tilt angles. Finally, the voids between
columns, estimated from SEM observations, are less than 20 nm, suggesting the presence of a
mesoporous network CrN45° and CrN60° samples. Due to the low amount of active material
and to the limited surface of thin film samples, it was not possible to further estimate the
pores size distribution by gas adsorption techniques.

In addition to the top view SEM images of CrN films, the cubic structure is also confirmed by
GA-XRD measurements (Figure 2a), with diffraction peaks at 37.5°, 43.6°, 63.4° and 76.0°
attributed to (111), (200), (220) and (311) planes, respectively. All the diffraction peaks have
a significant increase of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) as the deposition angle
increases. The FWHM of (111) diffraction peak has been used to estimate the grain size using
the Scherrer formula; the grain size decreases from 18 to 9 nm as the deposition angle
increases from 0 to 75°, in good agreement with the SEM observation. Due to the tilted
columnar morphology and the use of GA-XRD, the intensity ratio strongly depends on the
measurement method and the sample position during the measurement. Thus, the comparison
of intensity is not really convenient. However, a shift of the diffraction peaks is clearly
observed as the deposition angle increases. This effect is attributed to increase of the oxygen
content at high angles, due to the lower deposition rate. The presence of oxygen in metal
nitride thin film is frequently reported [29,30], and its content decreases as the deposition rate
increases.
Fig. 2. (a) XRD patterns, and XPS high-resolution spectra of (b) N 1s and (c) Cr 2p of CrN films.
The surface chemistry of the chromium nitride thin films has been investigated by XPS measurements, on Cr 2p and N 1s core level spectra (Figure 2b and c). No significant differences between samples are evidenced, which is expected, since neither the working pressure nor the gas flux have been modified. The survey spectra (not shown here) reveal the presence of oxygen, with higher content as the tilting angle increases. Cr 2p$_{3/2}$ is fitted with three contributions, centred at 574.6, 575.5 and 576.6 eV that can be assigned to CrN, Cr(O,N) and Cr$_2$O$_3$, respectively [31]. Such surface oxidation is expected, as no sample etching is done prior to the analysis. Nitride formation is attested on the N 1s core level spectra (Figure 2c) with a major contribution at 396.9 eV in addition to oxynitride formation as depicted from the contribution at 398.3 eV [31,32]. Since the specific capacitance of electrochemical capacitors is influenced by both the surface chemistry and the surface area of the active materials, one should consider that, in the case of our CrN electrodes, any difference of the electrochemical behaviour will be only attributed to the effect of surface area and structure, because the surface chemistry of all the CrN electrodes deposited at different tilt angles is almost the same.

### 3.2.2. Electrochemical properties

The charge transfer and ion diffusion properties of the CrN thin film electrodes were investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements. Figure 3 shows the typical Nyquist and bode plots of CrN thin film electrodes (CrN0°, CrN45°, CrN60° and CrN75°) recorded in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ aqueous solution at open circuit potential (OCP).
Fig. 3. Analysis of EIS plots of the CrN film electrodes (CrN0°, CrN45°, CrN60° and CrN75°): (a) Nyquist plots, (b) Bode plots recorded in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at OCP, and (c) the related equivalent circuit.

Figure 3 shows the comparison of EIS results for the different CrN film electrodes (CrN0°, CrN45°, CrN60° and CrN75°), with the Nyquist plots (Figure 3a), the Bode plots (Figure 3b), and (c) the Randles equivalent circuit (Figure 3c). Three domains can be identified for the EIS spectra as depicted in the Fig.3; at high frequencies region, a semicircle is observed which can be attributed to the surface properties and charge transfer resistance of the
material; the domain at mid frequencies region corresponds to straight line (except for CrN0°) with a slope < 45° followed by straight line at higher phase angle. These features indicate a non-perfect capacitive-like behavior. The slope of the straight line making an angle lower than 45° in the mid-frequency region is due to the diffusion effect of ions at the electrode–electrolyte interface and reflects the diffusive behaviour, including proton diffusion in the electrolyte trapped in the porosity of the CrN film. These slopes are followed by a straight line with a slope > 45° indicating the capacitive behaviour of the CrN films. These results are in accordance with the literature on the electrochemical behavior of CrN in acidic medium [18,33,34]. The intercept of the beginning of the semicircle with Z' axis is very close for all the samples and it is related to the resistance of the 0.5M H₂SO₄ electrolyte (Re). Wider arcs or semi-circles mean greater resistance to charge transfer and a steeper slope means a lower ion diffusion rate [35]. In the low frequency region, a vertical plot is observed, suggesting a capacitive behavior of the CrN electrodes. The phase angles determined in the Bode plot (Fig.3b) at low frequencies are >45° for all electrodes, which is lower than the expected value for an ideal capacitor (90°). The Randles equivalent circuit of CrN electrodes in Fig3.c presents three series networks, one of them related to the electrolyte, the second to the film area, and the third to the pores. The electrolyte resistance is represented by resistor Rₑ, the area occupied by CrN film is represented by a parallel R//Q network that refers to the associated interfacial process (Rₑt, Cₐl and W), standing for the charge transfer resistance, double layer capacitance and diffusion effect, respectively, in series with a Qₚₑ corresponding to the pseudocapacitance of CrN electrodes. The Nyquist plot was fitted using the Randles equivalent circuit and its parameter values were summarized in the Table 1. The resistance of the electrolyte Rₑ was varied from 28 to 17 Ω which due to the difference in contact of the back side of the electrodes. The charge transfer resistance (Rₑt) value determined for CrN45° electrode was 120 Ω, lower than that for CrN0°, CrN60° and CrN75° electrodes its values
were 204, 237, and 409 Ω, respectively. The results indicate that the CrN45° electrode is electrochemically more active than the other samples deposited at different grazing angles. This implies that the deposition angle has a drastic influence on the electrochemical behaviour of the CrN films. This can be explained by the morphology and the apparent porosity of the sample. The relative low charge transfer resistance (R<sub>ct</sub>) values are helpful to provide good electrochemical performance [36].

**Table 1. EIS equivalent circuit fitting parameter values of the CrN Electrodes in the electrolyte of 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt; (Ω)</th>
<th>Q (F)</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;ct&lt;/sub&gt; (Ω)</th>
<th>W (S·sec&lt;sup&gt;0.5&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>B (sec&lt;sup&gt;0.5&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Q&lt;sub&gt;pr&lt;/sub&gt; (S·sec&lt;sup&gt;0.5&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>6.3×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>3.6×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.8×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.0×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>7.7×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.9×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.1×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>5.8×10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>5.9×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.1×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.4×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.5864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75°</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>6.3×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>4.9×10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.6×10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. (a) Comparison of cyclic voltamograms of CrN electrodes in 0.5M H₂SO₄ at a scan rate of 10 mV.s⁻¹. The slight distortion of CrN45° and CrN60° CVs can be attributed to the electrical resistivity of CrN deposit. Indeed, the resistivity of the film increases from 5.5×10⁻², 1.2×10⁻¹.
2.0×10⁻², and 6.9×10⁻² Ω.cm for CrN0°, CrN45°, CrN60° and CrN75°. The increase of the resistivity is attributed to the lower deposition rate as the deposition angle increase: the residual oxygen content is the same in the chamber, but due to a lower deposition rate, its content increases. In the case of CrN0° and CrN75°, the CVs do not show any capacitive behaviour even at low scan rate of 5 mV.s⁻¹ (not shown here), and the current is very weak, thus indicating a poor double layer capacitance. This can be assigned to the dense structure of the CrN0° and CrN75° electrodes as observed by SEM and in agreement with EIS analyses. The effect of surface chemistry can be ruled out as already discussed in the XPS section. The CVs at different scan rates (10-100 mV.s⁻¹) of the CrN films, deposited at tilt angles of 45° and 60°, are presented in Figures 4b and 4c, respectively. At low scan rate, their both CVs exhibit small distortion, indicative of resistivity nature of the deposit (more portably due the increased oxygen amount in the films). However, at higher scan rates, the CrN45° and CrN60° electrodes display poor capacitance retention, which may be due to the porosity of the electrodes.

Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves at a current density of 0.4 mA.cm⁻² are depicted in Figure 4d. It can be seen that the CrN45° and CrN60° electrodes display an IR drop of 0.088 V and 0.192 V respectively, suggesting a superior electrical conductivity of CrN45°, as well as more accessible porosity by the electrolyte. This is consistent with the CV and EIS results. Indeed, at the same scan rate, the CVs of CrN60° electrode are more distorted than those of CrN45° electrode.

The areal capacitance values were calculated from the charge-discharge curves at a current density of 0.400 mA.cm⁻². The values are 70.0 and 54.2 mF.cm⁻² for CrN45° and CrN60° electrodes, respectively. Such a difference cannot be due to the smaller thickness of CrN60° compared to CrN45°. Indeed, when the capacitance is normalized to the thickness, the volumetric capacitance of CrN 45° and CrN60° become 454.5 and 389.9 F.cm⁻³, respectively.
In Figures 4e and f are presented the variation of the areal capacitance versus scan rate and current density, respectively. It can be seen that the capacitance decreases with increasing the scan rate and current density, suggesting that parts of the surface are inaccessible at high scan rates and/or at fast charging-discharging rates. The difference of areal capacitance between the two electrodes can be attributed to the small differences in their thicknesses. It is noteworthy to mention that the capacitance values versus current density given in this work can be overestimated. This is because we used the slope method to estimate the capacitance versus the current densities, while this method is accurate only for ideally rectangular-shaped CV curves and triangular-shaped CD curves and which is not the case for our electrodes. The capacity loss after 10,000 consecutive cycles at a scan rate of 100 mV.s\(^{-1}\) is only 5.5%, as shown in Figure 5, indicative of a fair long-term electrochemical stability [6–8], which is even higher than those of transition metal nitride materials [37]. Such a good electrochemical stability enables to envision the use of CrN electrodes in micro-supercapacitors [8]. The sudden drop in capacitance at the ~5000 cycle could be due to ON/OFF/ON room temperature change.

![Graph](image)

**Fig.5.** Cycling stability test of CrN45° film in 0.5M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at a scan rate of 100 mV.s\(^{-1}\).
From a practical point of view, the areal capacitance of 35.4 mF cm⁻² at a current density of 1.2 mA cm⁻² for the CrN 45° electrode compares to 31.0 mF cm⁻² at 1.0 mA cm⁻² for the porous CrN electrodes recently reported in [19] and 2.5 fold higher than the best CrN electrode deposited by sputtering (13 mF cm⁻² at 1.0 mA cm⁻²) recently reported in [18]. When compared to other transition metal nitrides, the areal capacitance of our CrN electrodes is still much higher than for TiN electrodes (27 mF cm⁻² at 1.0 mA cm⁻²) [38], HfN electrode (6 mF cm⁻² at 1.0 mA cm⁻²) [39], or GaN membrane (21 mF cm⁻² at 0.1 mA cm⁻²) [40]. Therefore, our CrN electrodes are competing quite well with the state of the art transition metal nitride thin film electrodes, not only in the term of cycling stability but also in the term of specific capacitance. A more detailed table is provided in the supplementary information (Table S1) providing a comparison of our electrodes with other materials.

3.2. Integration of CrN thin film electrode in a planar symmetrical microsupercapacitor

A symmetrical microsupercapacitor (MSC) was fabricated using CrN thin films (CrN 45° or CrN 60°) as electrodes, as depicted in Figure 6a-b. More details for MSC fabrication are given in the supplementary information. The technological process used for micro-device fabrication allows us to perform the collective fabrication of MSCs at a wafer scale. CrN 60° was chosen in this study to be integrated on the micro-device. As the volumetric capacitance is close to each other for both orientations, we choose the more tilted sample, namely CrN 60°. In addition, due to the size of the device and in order to avoid deposition on the current collector side of the device, orientation of 60° was chosen as safe angle in order to deposit the CrN on the device. More than 95% of the 30 fabricated MSCs did not exhibit any short-circuit between the two electrodes, indicating the high reproducibility of the technological
process. Furthermore, the electrodes are deposited without intentional heating of the silicon substrate. Therefore, our complete process is compatible with semiconductor technology.

**Fig. 6.** Micro-supercapacitor fabrication and design. (a) Schematic illustration of the fabrication process using the lift-off process of the active material (not to scale). Schematic (b) and optical image (c) of the micro-device. (d) Cross-section of the electrode observed with scanning electron microscopy.

Figure 6c illustrates the geometrical parameters of the unit cell. A 100 µm wide spacing was used to isolate the interdigitated electrodes (2 mm long/1.7 mm wide). The SEM cross-section of the CrN coated MSCs is reported in Figure 6d, with a similar morphology with previous coatings.
CVs were recorded at a scan rate from 0.01 to 10 V.s\(^{-1}\) to test the rate capability of the MSCs. Indeed, the integration of CrN on chip MSCs allows decreasing the series resistance thus improving the power density of the microsystem [41].
**Fig.7.** CVs obtained at scan rates of (a) 0.1, (b) 1 and (c) 10 V.s^{-1} for CrN 60° based micro-supercapacitor device.

The shape of the Nyquist plots (Figure S3) clearly confirms no short circuit between the electrodes, thus validating again the technological process. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was used to investigate the CrN micro-supercapacitor device. The electrolyte resistance between the two CrN electrodes is 13.0 $\Omega$. The charge transfer resistance values ($R_{ct}$) determined for the symmetric micro-supercapacitor is 36.8 $\Omega$. The galvanostatic charge-discharge curves at different current densities of the MSCs in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ are displayed in Figure 8a. The areal capacitance measured at the lower current density (0.05 mA cm$^{-2}$) is 10.3 mF cm$^{-2}$. Since the surface area of a single electrode is less than 1/3 of the total surface of the micro-device, this capacitance value is in good agreement with the previous findings from the study of single electrodes. Indeed, a capacitance of 10.3 mF cm$^{-2}$ for the MSC is 25% of that of the corresponding thin film ($\approx$ 35.4 mF cm$^{-2}$ per electrode), in agreement with surface ratio.
It can be seen that at a current density of 0.05 mA.cm\(^{-2}\), the CrN60° based MSC displays an IR drop of 0.088 V versus 0.192 V for CrN60° thin film electrode (Fig.4d), indicating less resistance in series for the MSC. Which is also the reason why the integrated CrN60° can be cycled at higher scan rate compared to the same deposit tested in the three electrodes configuration. In Figure 8b and c are presented the variation of the areal capacitance with scan rate and current density, respectively. The values of areal capacitances experience a drastic drop upon increasing the scan rate from 2 to 100 mV.s\(^{-1}\) (from 21.0 to 5.5 mF.cm\(^{-2}\)), whereas a relatively high capacitance level is retained over scan rates in the wide range of
0.1–10 V.s\(^{-1}\) (from 5.5 to 2.2 mF.cm\(^{-2}\)). The decrease in capacitance with scan rate increase from 2 to 100 mV.s\(^{-1}\) occurs not only due to the limited ion diffusion in porous electrodes, but also to the limitation from charge transfer rate for pseudo-capacitive electrodes. The same phenomenon happens for current densities in the range of 0.05-1 mA.cm\(^{-2}\) and 1-4 mA.cm\(^{-2}\). For the former current range, the areal capacitance is stable around 6.0 and 5.5 mF.cm\(^{-2}\), while it drops from 10.3 to 6.0 mF.cm\(^{-2}\) within the of 0.05-1 mA.cm\(^{-2}\) current range. Considering the thickness of the coating, the volumetric capacitance is stable around 40-45 F.cm\(^{-3}\). Although the mass of the device is not really meaningful, as the area is more important than its mass [8], the mass loading can be roughly estimated from Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) measurements. The complete study of the density variation as a function of the deposition angle is beyond the scope of this paper (but will be studied in a forthcoming work), but preliminary RBS measurements have been performed on CrN thin film deposited at a tilt of 60\(^\circ\), giving an RBS density is of \(\approx 4.5\text{g.cm}^{-3}\). Considering the volume of the device, and the coating thickness, the mass loading is around \(2\times 10^{-2}\) mg.

The areal capacitance of the symmetric CrN/CrN based MSC is higher than the silicon chip CDC MSCs (1.5 mF.cm\(^{-2}\) at 100 mV.s\(^{-1}\)) [42], and comparable to the PS–TiN in-chip device (5 mF.cm\(^{-2}\)) [37], photoresist derived carbon (3.2 mF cm\(^{-2}\) at 0.5 mA.cm\(^{-2}\)) [43], or asymmetric flexible MXene- reduced graphene oxide (2.4 mF cm\(^{-2}\) at 2 mV.s\(^{-1}\)) [44].

The maximum surface power density of the 1.3\(\mu\)m thick CrN60\(^\circ\)/CrN60\(^\circ\) symmetrical MSC was 8.7 mW.cm\(^{-2}\) at an energy density of 0.2 \(\mu\)Wh.cm\(^{-2}\). This energy can be increased up to 2 \(\mu\)Wh.cm\(^{-2}\) while decreasing the power density to 20 \(\mu\)W.cm\(^{-2}\). Although the energy density is impeded by the limited cell voltage (0.8 V) due to the use of symmetrical device in acidic electrolyte, the high values of power density depict the optimum geometry of our electrodes and microdevices.
4. Conclusion

In this work, GLAD deposition technique was used to deposit CrN films for use as electrodes for electrochemical capacitors. The films deposited at 0° and 75° are electrochemically inactive for charge storage, more probably due to inappropriate morphologies (too dense at 0° and unaccessible columns closed on the top at 75°), while films deposited at 45 and 60° exhibit a columnar morphology, with open voids that allow access for ion diffusion onto the electroactive interface, resulting in electrode with high specific capacitance (35.4 mF cm\(^{-2}\) at a current density of 1.2 mA.cm\(^{-2}\)) and long cycling life (94.5% of retention after 10,000 cycles). In addition, our symmetric on chip micro-supercapacitor based on porous CrN60° demonstrate a maximum energy density of 2 µWh.cm\(^{-2}\) \((15.3 \text{ mWh.cm}^{-3})\) at a power density of 20 µW.cm\(^{-2}\) \((0.15 \text{ W.cm}^{-3})\). Moreover, power densities can be increased up to 8.7 mW.cm\(^{-2}\). Although preliminary, these first results open the way for the fabrication of on-chip CrN based microsupercapacitors using GLAD deposition technique. Further work should aim at enlarging the cell voltage of the microdevice by coupling CrN as positive electrode with another negative electrode such as vanadium nitride.
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