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Nanoscience has matured significantly during the last decade as it has transitioned from bench top science to applied technology. Presently, nanomaterials are used in a wide variety of commercial products such as electronic components, sports equipment, sun creams and biomedical applications. There are few studies of the long-term consequences of nanoparticles on human health, but governmental agencies, including the United States National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and Japan’s Ministry of Health, have recently raised the question of whether seemingly innocuous materials such as carbon-based nanotubes should be treated with the same caution afforded known carcinogens such as asbestos. Since nanomaterials are increasing a part of everyday consumer products, manufacturing processes, and medical products, it is imperative that both workers and end-users be protected from inhalation of potentially toxic NPs. It also suggests that NPs may need to be sequestered into products so that the NPs are not released into the atmosphere during the product’s life or during recycling. Further, non-inhalation routes of NP absorption, including dermal and medical injectables, must be studied in order to understand possible toxic effects. Fewer studies to date have addressed whether the body can eventually eliminate nanomaterials to prevent particle build-up in tissues or organs. This critical review discusses the biophysicochemical properties of various nanomaterials with emphasis on currently available toxicology data and methodologies for evaluating nanoparticle toxicity (286 references).

1. Introduction

The substantial differences in physicochemical properties of nanomaterials compared to the bulk phase have been recognized in numerous scientific and technological areas.1 Nanomedicine is a new field of science based on the significantly enhanced properties of nanoparticles (NPs) (e.g. semiconducting-, metallic-, magnetic-, and polymeric-nanosystems) that make possible the early diagnosis and new treatments for catastrophic diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, and cancer.2–5 For instance, one of the most promising NP systems is superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPIONs), which are in clinical development as imaging agents6 and preclinical studies for theranosis applications (i.e. simultaneous diagnosis and treatment).7–10 In addition, SPIONs have been used for magnetic labeling, cell isolation, hyperthermia and controlled drug release.11–21 Several commercial nano-agents are already available for biomedical applications and many nanomedicine-products are near obtaining final approval for clinical use.22

Besides biomedical applications, NPs are used commercially in products such as electronic components, scratch-free paint, sports equipment, cosmetics, food color additives, and surface coatings.23 Hence, our exposure to nanomaterials is significant and increasing, yet there is little understanding of the unique toxicological properties of NPs and their long-term impact on human health.24,25 Because of their very small size, NPs are capable of entering the human body by inhalation, ingestion, skin penetration or injections, and NPs have the potential to interact with intracellular structures and macromolecules for long periods of time.

The number of nanomaterials-based publications has increased significantly over the years; however, the majority of publications are focused on the synthesis and development of novel nanomaterials and less than one percent have focused on NPs’ biological impact. While the toxicity of many bulk materials is well understood, it is not known at what concentration or size they can begin to exhibit new toxicological properties due to nanoscopic dimensions. There is a considerable gap between
the available data on the nanomaterials production and toxicity evaluations. The lack of toxicity data can prohibit the safe design of NPs.

This review presents a broad overview of the available in vivo toxicity assessments of NPs. In addition, the bio-physicochemical properties of NPs in vivo are discussed in detail.

2. Mechanism of toxicity

Several different mechanisms can cause NP toxicity in body, but most intracellular and in vivo toxicities from NPs arise from the production of excess reactive oxygen species (ROS).26–28 One mechanism of NP-induced oxidative stress occurs during the dissolution of iron-based NPs, which catalyzes ROS generation and formation of OOH and OH radicals from H2O2 via the Fenton reaction. Furthermore, some inert nanomaterials do not give rise to spontaneous ROS production, yet are capable of inducing ROS production under biological conditions, based on the ability of the NPs to target mitochondria.29 ROS are both physiologically necessary and potentially destructive. Moderate levels of ROS play specific roles in the modulation of several cellular events, including signal transduction, proliferative response, gene expression and protein redox regulation.30,31 High ROS levels are indicative of oxidative stress and can damage cells by peroxidizing lipids, altering proteins, disrupting DNA, interfering with signaling functions, and modulating gene transcription32 and finally ending up in cancer, renal disease, neurodegeneration, cardiovascular or pulmonary disease. ROS can steal electrons from lipids in cell membrane resulting in decline in physiological function and cell death.33 Oxidative stress associated with TiO2 NPs, for example, results in early inflammatory responses, such as an increase in polymorph nuclear cells, impaired macrophage phagocytosis, and/or fibro proliferative changes in rodents.34 TiO2 NPs also can cause proinflammatory effects in human endothelial cells. Carbon NPs have been shown to induce oxidative stress in fish brain cells and pulmonary inflammation in rats.35,36 Exposure of
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human keratinocytes to insoluble carbon NPs was associated with oxidative stress and apoptosis.

Toxicity from ROS can be more pronounced in the central nervous system (CNS) due to the high content of unsaturated fatty acids, which are susceptible to peroxidation. ROS also play a role in the development of vasculopathies, including those that define atherosclerosis, hypertension, and restenosis after angioplasty. Accumulation of NPs in the organs of the reticuloendothelial system (RES) along with the prevalence of numerous phagocytic cells, imbalances ROS homeostasis and antioxidant defenses, which makes the liver and spleen main targets of oxidative stress.

Nanoparticle-induced oxidative stress affects cell signaling in three stages as described by Nel et al. A low level of oxidative stress enhances transcription of defense genes through transcription factor nrf2. A higher level of oxidative stress activates inflammation signaling through NFκB, and very high levels are connected with activation of apoptotic pathways and necrosis. Changing these signaling pathways in cells is associated with the carcinogenic effects of NPs. Peterson and Nelson reviewed the ROS toxicity of NPs towards the cell nucleus and DNA material. The accumulation of single strand breaks and oxidative induced base lesions can lead to double strand breaks, which are considered the most lethal type of oxidative damage to DNA. Excess amounts of ROS can damage the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) as well. Damage to mtDNA is reported to associate with several clinical syndromes such as neurogenic muscle weakness, ataxia and retinitis pigmentosa, mitochondrial encephalomyopathy lactic acidosis, stroke like episodes, retinitis pigmentosa, cardiac conduction defect and elevated cerebrospinal fluid protein. To mitigate ROS effects, some new steps have been taken in NP design. Recently, cerium oxide nanoparticles have been developed that incorporate oxygen defects which scavenge free radicals. It was found that the cerium oxide NPs prevented oxidative stress similarly as well as N-acetyl cystine in mice with tetrachloride-induced liver toxicity. Apart from ROS effects, certain physicochemical properties of NP can also induce toxicity. For instance, recently Minchin et al. showed that some NPs cause unfolding of fibrinogen, hence promoting its interaction with the integrin receptor, Mac-1. Activation of this receptor upregulates the NFκB signaling pathway, resulting in the release of inflammatory cytokines.

3. Analysis of nanomaterials toxicity

3.1. In vitro vs. in vivo assay

The in vitro methods are ideal in nanotoxicology research because they can produce reproducible results rapidly and inexpensively without the use of animals. Simple in vitro methods that produce specific and quantitative measurements of toxicity are extremely valuable for initially evaluating the expected biocompatibility of new NPs. Widely cited examples include the LDH assay of cell membrane integrity, the MTT assay of mitochondrial function, and immunochemistry markers for apoptosis and necrosis. However, these methods provide little information on the mechanism or cause of cellular toxicity and death. For example, cell viability is measured as a function of metabolic activity in many tetrazolium-based toxicity assays, but the mechanism underlying mitochondrial inactivity and cell death cannot be elucidated from this assay.
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In fact, any lethal consequences from NP exposure including membrane lysis, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis may stop mitochondrial activity. Other colorimetric assays such as Live/Dead, Trypan Blue and Neutral also provide little information regarding the mechanisms of cell death, as they just discriminate live cells from dead cells.

The accuracy and precision of colorimetric assays for in vitro toxicity of NPs are also affected by the interactions of the nanoparticles with the colour-generating dyes. For example, it has been found that CNTs interact with MTT formazan crystals or other dyes such as Neutral Red or Alamar Blue by physisorption and produce conflicting results.\(^{46-49}\) Similarly absorption of key proteins such as albumin or LDH can lead to confounding endpoint measurements.\(^{50-52}\) In addition to these problems, inherent issues including dose effect, time course effect, cell–cell and cell–matrix interaction and physico-chemical characteristics of NPs in cell culture conditions also contribute in false results. Since higher doses are usually used in in vitro experiments, toxicity is usually higher in vitro compared with in vivo where lower doses are used. Short term in vitro test results cannot be used as a good prognostic of long-term physiological effects. Recently Lee et al. demonstrated that common 2D cell cultures may not accurately reflect the actual toxicity of NPs as they do not adequately represent the functions of 3D tissues that have extensive cell–cell and cell–matrix interactions with obvious different diffusion/transport conditions.\(^{53}\) Using CdTe nanoparticles, they observed significantly reduced toxicity compared to 2D culture system.

Recent studies have shown little correlation between the in vitro and in vivo toxicity of some nanomaterials. Sayes et al.\(^{54}\) assessed the reliability of in vitro screening studies to predict in vivo pulmonary toxicity of several NPs in rats, including carbonyl iron, crystalline and amorphous silica, and zinc oxide. The comparisons of in vivo and in vitro measurements demonstrated little correlation between groups. Thus the in vitro systems are mainly useful to identify specific characteristics of nanomaterials that can be used as indicators of toxicity and in order to establish a ranking of NP toxicity for mechanistic studies (Fig. 1). Animal models would be particularly useful to study aspects that cannot be obtained with in vitro systems, such as toxico-kinetics in the body, i.e. absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination. In vivo tests are time-consuming, expensive, and invoke ethical issues. Nevertheless, these studies can provide information on the carcinogenicity, pulmonary, dermal and gastrointestinal toxicities related to the initial deposition of nanomaterials by various exposure routes. In addition, these studies can evaluate the immunological, neurological, reproductive, cardiovascular and developmental toxicity to determine the chronic systemic toxicity of nanomaterials.\(^{55}\)

3.2. In silico assays for nanotoxicity

In silico methods to predict the toxicity of nanomaterials can supplement or replace some expensive and time-consuming assays, especially early in the design process of new materials. Quantitative structure-activity relationships, collectively referred to as (Q)SARs, are theoretical models that can be used to predict the physicochemical and biological properties of molecules.\(^{56}\) According to the QSAR paradigm, if the molecular parameters have been calculated for a group of compounds, but experimental data on the activity of those compounds are available for only part of the group, it is possible to interpolate the unknown activity of the other compounds from the molecular descriptors using a suitable mathematical model.\(^{57}\) In silico predictive toxicology techniques are a fast and cost efficient alternative (or supplement) to bioassays for the identification of toxic effects of nanomaterials.\(^{58}\) Sayes et al. used the QSAR method to develop mathematical models to predict cellular membrane damage resulting from several nanoparticle physicochemical features. They found that the size, concentration and zeta potential of particles in ultra-pure aqueous medium are among the most influential factors on cytoplasm leaking.\(^{59}\) Puzyn et al. applied nano-QSAR to predict the toxicity of 17 different metal oxides nanoparticles. Their theoretical model along with experimental data was able to describe the relationship between NP structure and cytotoxicity to E. coli cells.\(^{57}\) In silico methods can be applied to both in vivo and in vitro data, hence the quality of the in vivo or in vitro data is of fundamental importance. However, the uncertainty of the in vivo data limits the accuracy of the model. In fact, the results from in silico methods cannot be expected to exceed the accuracy of the data used to construct the model.\(^{60}\)

4. In vivo toxicity

The increasing production and use of NPs, has given rise to many concerns and debates among public, scientific and regulatory authorities regarding their safety and final fate in
biological systems. In vitro and in silico methods for acute chemical toxicity are able to provide adequate data for many bulk materials; however, the in vivo interaction of NPs and biological system is quite complicated and dynamic. In addition, in the absence of sufficient in vivo data to correlate with the in vitro and in silico assays, these methods are of limited use.

Nanoparticles can be administrated by six principal routes: intravenous, transdermal, subcutaneous, inhalation, intra-peritoneal and oral.61 Although inhalation, ingestion, skin contact and intravenous injection are the predominant routes of exposure for human, existing in vivo data were largely collected from inhalation and intra-tracheal instillation in rodents, including the bulk of toxicity data for Au, C, CdO, Fe, Mn2O3, Ni, TiO2 and carbon nanotubes.62 A limited number of studies concern the intravenous and oral routes of administration, which are more relevant for most NPs of interest in nanomedicine.63

When the nanostructures enter into the body, absorption can occur through interactions with biological components such as proteins and cells; afterwards, they can distribute into various organs where they may remain in the same structure or become modified or metabolized.64 NPs may enter cells of the organ and reside in the cells for an unknown amount of time before leaving to other organs or to be excreted. Most of the recent studies in this area have focused on the absorption of nanostructures via inhalation or dermal exposure. Some studies of NP toxicity have focused on NPs with known toxic characteristics, such as asbestos and carbon black.65–67 However, these studies are inadequate to predict the biological interactions of substantially more complex nanoparticles. For example, the absorption of quantum dots (QDs) through porcine skin is highly variable with surface coating chemistry, with periodic variation in the bulk of toxicity data for Au, C, CdO, Fe, Mn2O3, Ni, TiO2 and carbon nanotubes.62 A limited number of studies concern the intravenous and oral routes of administration, which are more relevant for most NPs of interest in nanomedicine.63

These studies suggest the importance of exposure route and physical properties of the nanostructures on absorption behavior. The importance of in vivo studies in nanomaterial toxicology and the challenges encountered in such studies have been discussed in detail by Fischer et al.71

A complete analysis of the pharmacokinetics (PK) of NPs is necessary to understand their activity and their potential toxicity. PK includes absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of nanostructures and gives quantitative information about their behavior in biological systems. The data can lead (i) to improvements in design of NPs for diagnostic and therapeutic applications, (ii) a better understanding of nanostructures non-specificity toward tissues and cell types, and (iii) assessments of basic distribution and clearance that serve as the basis in determining their toxicity and their future investigative directions.

4.1. Blood contact properties

Blood compatibility is an essential property for the in vivo functions of most NPs. Lack of blood compatibility may trigger coagulation and clot formation through adsorption of plasma proteins, platelet adhesion and activation of complement cascades. The coagulation of NPs is thermodynamically driven to minimize the contact surfaces areas of hydrophobic domains with the aqueous milieu. Therefore, the blood contact properties of NPs should always be evaluated prior to clinical use to gauge their safety.72 NPs in contact with blood can induce hemolysis, plate aggregation or blood coagulation, so the hemolysis assay using mammalian erythrocytes is a primary screening of NP toxicity.73,74 Erythrocytes are the predominant cell type in the blood and play a crucial role in transporting oxygen. These cells are vulnerable to toxicity with deformation, agglutination and membrane damage.75 NPs exert hemolytic effects through multiple mechanisms, including enzymatic modifications, changes to the rheological properties, oxidative damage of cell membranes, changes in osmotic stability and endotoxin and/or microbial contamination.

There is some evidence that some carbon nanoparticles and microparticles have the ability to activate platelets and enhance vascular thrombosis and initiate thrombosis.76,77 Radomski et al. showed that both urban dusts and engineered carbon particles, such as CNT and carbon black, except C60CS, stimulated platelet aggregation and accelerated the rate of vascular thrombosis in rat carotid arteries with a similar rank order of efficacy. All particles resulted in up-regulation of GPIIb/IIIa in human platelets. In contrast, particles differentially affected the release of platelet granules, as well as the activity of thromboxane-,- ADP-, matrix metalloproteinase- and protein kinase C-dependent pathways of aggregation.77 Consistent with the in vitro results, exposure to nano Ag (0.05–0.1 mg kg−1 intravenously or 5–10 mg kg−1 intra-tracheal instillation) in vivo enhanced platelet aggregation and promoted venous thrombus formation in rats.78 Similarly, Seaton et al. have shown that exposure to ambient airborne particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 10 nm or less results in increased plasma fibrinogen levels.79 In addition, tracheal inhalation of diesel exhaust particles caused peripheral thrombosis in experimental animals.80

The coagulation properties of NPs can be evaluated with several routine and widely available clinical assays,63 including prothrombin time (PT), activated clotting time (ACT), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) and thrombin time (TT). In addition, Neun et al. developed detailed procedures for measuring the thrombogenicity of NPs.81 Since NPs may affect the intrinsic coagulation pathway, NP treatment should be subject to the APTT testing prior to use in nanomedical application.82,83 Table 1 provides a summary of procedures for testing blood coagulation. Such clotting assays are useful as screening tests to evaluate the intrinsic and extrinsic effects of NPs on the blood coagulation cascades.

4.2. Pharmacokinetics study of NPs

4.2.1. Absorption. The surfaces of NPs are rapidly covered by selective sets of blood plasma proteins after injection, forming the so-called “protein corona”. For other routes of exposure, NPs must pass through additional physiological barriers before entering the blood (e.g., the skin, gastrointestinal tract, or the lungs), picking up additional biomolecules as they are transported.86 The absorption of biomolecules to such surfaces confers a new “biological identity” in the biological milieu, which determines the subsequent cellular and tissue responses.87 The protein corona is a complex mixture of absorbed proteins in equilibrium on the surface of NPs.88,89
which play an important role in determining what surface is actually presented to cells that take the nanostructure up and activate signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{90,91} The protein corona is composed of an inner layer of selected proteins with a lifetime of several hours in slow exchange with the environment (hard corona) and an outer layer of weakly bound proteins which are characterized by a faster exchange rate with the free proteins (the soft corona).\textsuperscript{86} The biological impact of protein-coated NPs is mainly related to the hard corona and their specificity and suitable orientation for a particular receptor. Although low-affinity high-abundance proteins may initially adsorb to the surface of NPs, lower abundance but higher affinity proteins quickly replace them.

Nanoparticle size, shape, surface charge, and solubility are among the contributing factors, which determine the interaction of the NPs with proteins.\textsuperscript{92} Protein absorption strongly influences NPs fate and biodistribution in body. For instance, adsorption of fibrinogen, IgG, or complement factor, is believed to promote phagocytosis with removal of the NPs from the bloodstream.\textsuperscript{93} On the other hand, binding of human serum albumin or apolipoproteins promotes prolonged circulation time in blood.\textsuperscript{94}

A combination of analytical techniques is needed to understand the binding kinetics between nanostructures and the proteins on cells.\textsuperscript{90,95} Conformational changes of proteins adsorbed onto nanostructure surfaces could alter the function of the protein\textsuperscript{88,96} and could affect the fate of the nanostructures.\textsuperscript{94,102} Many of the subset of serum proteins that interact with nanosystems are immunoactive, such as complement factors\textsuperscript{97} and immunoglobulins. A correlation of nanostructure-protein interaction with in vivo PK data permits the assembly of a structure-activity relationship; this represents an important next step for evaluating nanotoxicity.\textsuperscript{71}

### 4.2.2. Distribution.

After absorption, NPs can be distributed to various organs, tissues, and cells. Only a few recent studies have focused on the biodistribution of engineered nanostructures. In these studies, the key is to quantitatively map the location of the nanostructures at different time points and at different doses, as size, shape, aggregation state and surface chemistry may affect nanostructure biodistribution. Thus it is difficult to predict the in vivo behavior of NPs. When injected intravenously, NPs are cleared rapidly from the circulation, predominantly by the Kupffer cells of the liver and the spleen macrophages.\textsuperscript{96}

In the distribution phase, the density and permeability of blood vessels are key factors that determine the speed at which equilibrium and organ-specific concentrations are reached, where highly vascularized areas reach equilibrium more rapidly than poorly vascularized areas. In studies with QDs and single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT), it was discovered that a high dose percentage can be sequestered in the liver, dependent upon the surface modification.\textsuperscript{70,99,100} Other organs such as the spleen, lymph node, or bone marrow can take up nanostructures. All of these organs contain large concentrations of macrophages, which are part of the reticuloendothelial system (RES). The RES system, also called the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS), is a part of the immune system that consists of monocytes and macrophages involved in the uptake and metabolism of foreign molecules and particulates.\textsuperscript{101} Nanostructures coated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) can avoid RES uptake.\textsuperscript{102} In another example, multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) were shown to evade the RES when their surface is coated with ammonium and chelator functional groups\textsuperscript{103} but were taken up when coated with taurine.\textsuperscript{69} Aside from the surface chemistry, the core nanostructure can also impact the bio-distribution behavior. Polymer-based nanostructures and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanosystems for MRI contrast agents are known to degrade in vivo, but there is no clear indication whether fullerenes or silica NPs degrade in vivo.\textsuperscript{70,103–105} Fischer et al.\textsuperscript{99} and Ballou et al.\textsuperscript{105} showed that core ZnS-capped CdSe QDs remain intact and fluorescent in vivo after one month; however, neither study analyzed the metabolism of the organic coating on the nanostructure surface. The breakdown of the nanostructures could elicit molecular responses that are not predictable, and thus, an understanding and cataloging of what, when, and how much nanostructures degrade is extremely important.

### 4.2.3. Metabolism.

There are very few reports regarding the metabolism of NPs. Polymer-based nanostructures and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanosystems have been shown to degrade in tissues; while QDs, fullerenes and silica NPs did not degrade in vivo.\textsuperscript{70,103–105} Although it is usually considered implausible that enzymes could effectively metabolize inert nanomaterials such as gold and silver NPs, recent study showed that generally considered bio-persistent CNT is degraded by neutrophil myeloperoxidase.\textsuperscript{108} Likewise, coatings, capping materials, and surface functional groups could be metabolized. For example, the protein cap of a functionalized QD could be cleaved by proteases.\textsuperscript{107}

Nanoparticles could be metabolized in liver through phase I and II metabolic pathways. Phase I reactions involve formation...
of a new or altered functional group by oxidation, reduction, or hydrolysis reactions to increase reactivity or polarity. Phase II reactions involve conjugation of an endogenous compound, such as glucuronic acid or glycine, to ensure higher water solubility and lowered chemical reactivity. Frequently, phase II reactions occur after the NPs have been rendered more reactive by phase I metabolism. The metabolites of these processes have a higher polarity and are excreted at a higher rate than the original molecule through the kidneys via the urine or the liver via the bile. For example the metallic core of QDs and other metal oxides could be sequestered by metallothionein and excreted. These enzymes, present in liver and kidney, can bind metals and restore the cellular metal homeostasis.108

The most important enzymatic system of phase I metabolism is the microsomal family of isoenzymes, cytochrome P450, which can transfer electrons supplied by flavoproteins to catalyze oxidation. However, there is evidence that NPs can inhibit activity of this enzyme.109 Since NPs breakdown may elicit unique unpredictable molecular responses, understanding the exact mechanisms of degradation or alternation of NPs is extremely important.

4.2.4. Elimination. Elimination can occur via multiple routes, including perspiration, seminal fluids, mammary glands, saliva, and exhaled breath, although the urine via the kidneys103 and the feces via the biliary duct110,111 are the expected primary routes of NP elimination. Hydroxyl functionalized SWCNT, for example, is excreted in the bile. A novel compound, such as glucuronic acid or glycine, to ensure higher water solubility and lowered chemical reactivity. Frequently, the metabolism of these processes have a higher polarity and are excreted at a higher rate than the original molecule through the kidneys via the urine or the liver via the bile. For example the metallic core of QDs and other metal oxides could be sequestered by metallothionein and excreted. These enzymes, present in liver and kidney, can bind metals and restore the cellular metal homeostasis.108

The most important enzymatic system of phase I metabolism is the microsomal family of isoenzymes, cytochrome P450, which can transfer electrons supplied by flavoproteins to catalyze oxidation. However, there is evidence that NPs can inhibit activity of this enzyme.109 Since NPs breakdown may elicit unique unpredictable molecular responses, understanding the exact mechanisms of degradation or alternation of NPs is extremely important.

5. Effect of physicochemical properties of NPs on toxicity

Characteristic parameters of NPs, including dissolution, chemical composition, size, shape, agglomeration state, crystal structure, specific surface area, surface energy, surface charge, surface morphology and surface coating, influence the biological interaction of NPs, and hence it is important to evaluate these properties in determining toxic potential of nanomaterials. In the following section we review the effect of abovementioned parameters on in vivo toxicity of nanomaterials.

5.1. Effect of size

Particle size and surface area are crucial material characteristics from a toxicological point of view, as interactions...
between nanomaterials and biological organisms typically take place at the surface of the NP. As the particles’ size decreases, the surface area exponentially increases and a greater proportion of the particles’ atoms or molecules will be displayed on the surface rather than within the bulk of the material. Thus, the nanomaterial surface becomes more reactive toward itself or surrounding biological components with decreasing size, and the potential catalytic surface for chemical reactions increases. Since it is known that endocytic mode, cellular uptake and efficiency of particle processing in the endocytic pathway are dependent on size of material, size plays a key role in physiological response, distribution, and elimination of materials.

In vitro cytotoxicity studies of NPs of different size using various cell types, culture conditions and time course of exposure are being reported increasingly. Although some aspects of size dependent NP toxicity can be reasonably predicted by in vitro techniques, the wide range of NP concentrations and exposure times makes it difficult to determine when the observed cytotoxicity is clinically relevant. In addition, the uniqueness of each nanomaterial type being investigated for medical applications makes generalization of nanomaterial toxicity rather complicated. While in vitro NP applications require less strict toxicological characterization, in vivo use of NPs requires a comprehensive understanding of the kinetics and toxicology of the particles. To our knowledge, few data are available in the literature regarding the in vivo size dependent evaluation of nanomaterials. Thus, a better understanding of the relationship between the physicochemical properties of the nano systems and their in vivo behavior would provide a basis for assessing toxic response and more importantly could lead to predictive models for assessing toxicity.

The lung is an effective barrier against the uptake and toxicology for NPs. A series of studies in rodents using a variety of different NPs showed that surface area is a critical factor in provoking lung and other epithelial-induced inflammatory responses. When equal-mass doses of fine or ultrafine particles of the same composition were inhaled by rats, the latter caused greater pulmonary inflammation. However, there was no difference between them when the particle dose was normalized to the equivalent total particle surface area. The lung is an effective barrier against the uptake and distribution of NPs. Within the human respiratory tract, inhaled particles of different sizes exhibit different fractional depositions, as ultrafine particles with diameters smaller than 100 nm deposit in all regions, whereas particles smaller than 10 nm deposit in the tracheobronchial region, and particles between 10 and 20 nm deposit in the alveolar region. Particles smaller than 20 nm also deposit efficiently in the nasopharyngeal region.

In order to show toxic effects, NPs first need to traverse the epithelial barrier. NPs usually enter cells through energy-dependent endocytosis, non-phagocytic mechanisms or through receptor mediated endocytosis. There is evidence that translocation or distribution of NPs is size dependent in rats. Kreyling et al. showed that instillation of Ir0.3-particles with a diameter of 80 nm resulted in 0.1% being translocated to the liver, but with particles of 15 nm, this increased to 0.3–0.5%. Translocation of NPs across the alveolar-capillary barrier is still a matter of debate in other animals and in humans. Although it seems that size can be useful for assessing the toxic potential of some NPs, there is a consensus among experts that NP surface area or size is not the only physicochemical property that determines toxicity. Usually there is no precision in size determination as particle aggregation and agglomeration and the physicochemical properties of dispersion medium can also influence the ultimate particle size and related toxicity. For example, the hydrodynamic diameters of TiO2 and ZnO particles are significantly greater in phosphate buffer than in water, thus their sizes are often significantly larger than the primary particle size. Aggregation is more common in CNTs, which have a tendency to form bundle-like agglomerates because of their geometry and hydrophobic surface. In vivo aggregation has been observed for both SWCNT and MWCNT with the difference that SWCNT agglomerates remained at same size with translocation, but MWCNT agglomerates grew larger without any translocation from their administration site.

Studies have implicated size, length, and impurities of aggregated CNTs as primary determinants for toxicity, as the CNT cellular uptake mechanism may differ depending on the functionalization and size of the CNTs, including endocytosis and passive diffusion.

Several studies conducted on the in vivo distribution of intravenously administered CNT showed that CNT are mainly accumulated in liver, spleen and lungs without acute toxicity; however, cytotoxic effects induced by aggregates and accumulation have been observed in long-term studies. Wick et al. reported that agglomerated CNTs have more adverse effects than well-dispersed CNTs, and they changed the morphology and performance of a mesothelioma cell line similar to asbestos. Mercer et al. also showed that a well-dispersed preparation of CNT with a mean diameter of 0.69 μm had a better interstitial distribution with rare macrophage phagocytosis after pharyngeal aspiration to mice. However, as improved dispersion of the CNTs caused both increased aspiration of smaller structures as well as their easy entrance into the alveolar walls, the dispersed CNT enhanced pulmonary interstitial fibrosis. Taking it all together, it is likely that the most appropriate means of expressing size related toxicity for NPs must be determined on an individual basis.

Generally speaking, the harmfulness of NPs may arise from their size-related ability to readily enter biological systems and modify the structure of proteins through formation of new NP–protein complexes or enhanced protein degradation.
Clinical and experimental studies indicated that small size, and consequently a large surface area, enhance the generation of ROS. The electron donor or acceptor sites on the NPs react with molecular oxygen, resulting in formation of superoxide anions or hydrogen peroxide, which subsequently oxidizes other molecules. This phenomenon plays a role in the ability of NPs to induce another tissue injury. Recently Jiang et al.\textsuperscript{155} showed that binding and activation of membrane receptors and subsequent protein expression strongly depend on NP size. Using gold NPs between 2 and 100 nm, they found that the NPs actively engage and mediate the molecular processes that are essential for regulating cell functions. Redistribution of NPs from their site of deposition\textsuperscript{156,157} or deposition into renal tissues\textsuperscript{158} and escape from normal phagocytic defenses\textsuperscript{159,160} also may lead to toxicity.

Apart from size dependent toxicity of NPs toward respiratory organs, oral toxicity of NPs has been shown to have significant correlation with size in spite of the fact that the gastrointestinal tract offers physical, chemical, and cell-based barriers against the uptake and spread of NPs. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{161} showed that the oral toxicity of copper particles of 17 μm to 23.5 nm increased with decreasing size; larger particles were non-toxic at high doses (> 5000 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) whereas the smallest particles were moderately toxic (LD\textsubscript{50} of 413 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}). The toxicity of copper NPs was attributed to the accumulation of copper ions depleting in metabolic alkalinosis and copper iron overload. The much larger copper microparticles were chemically inert, due to their lower specific surface area. Quantitative studies of oral administration of gold NPs of 4, 10, 28, and 58 nm diameter in mice showed that uptake is dependent on NP size, with mice showing that binding and activation of membrane receptors and subsequent protein expression strongly depend on NP size. Using gold NPs between 2 and 100 nm, they found that the NPs actively engage and mediate the molecular processes that are essential for regulating cell functions. Redistribution of NPs from their site of deposition\textsuperscript{156,157} or deposition into renal tissues\textsuperscript{158} and escape from normal phagocytic defenses\textsuperscript{159,160} also may lead to toxicity.

Apart from size dependent toxicity of NPs toward respiratory organs, oral toxicity of NPs has been shown to have significant correlation with size in spite of the fact that the gastrointestinal tract offers physical, chemical, and cell-based barriers against the uptake and spread of NPs. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{161} showed that the oral toxicity of copper particles of 17 μm to 23.5 nm increased with decreasing size; larger particles were non-toxic at high doses (> 5000 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) whereas the smallest particles were moderately toxic (LD\textsubscript{50} of 413 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}). The toxicity of copper NPs was attributed to the accumulation of copper ions depleting in metabolic alkalinosis and copper iron overload. The much larger copper microparticles were chemically inert, due to their lower specific surface area. Quantitative studies of oral administration of gold NPs of 4, 10, 28, and 58 nm diameter in mice showed that uptake is dependent on particle size, as smaller particles cross the gastrointestinal tract more readily.\textsuperscript{162}

Nanoparticle size has an important effect on the rate and route of clearance from the body, especially in parenteral dosage forms. For example, although the inert nature of bulk gold suggests it is a safe substrate for nanomaterials, NPs smaller than 50 nm administrated by intravenous injection are potentially toxic and disperse quickly to nearly all tissues, accumulating in blood, heart, lungs, liver, spleen, kidney, thymus, brain and reproductive organs. Larger particles (100-200 nm) were found in the RES tissues but not as widely dispersed into other tissues as were the smaller particles.\textsuperscript{163,164} Chen et al.\textsuperscript{1} reported that Au NPs of 3, 5, 50, and 100 nm are nontoxic when injected weekly into mice, whereas Au NPs between 8 and 37 nm caused severe toxicity and death within 3 weeks.\textsuperscript{165} However, these toxicities were reduced after incorporating immunogenic peptides on the NP surface that induced an enhanced antibody response. The \textit{in vivo} toxicity of gold and silver NPs has been investigated with zebra fish,\textsuperscript{166} which are a useful \textit{in vivo} model for toxicity evaluation because of the high degree of homology to the human genome and the rapid development of a transparent embryo. Using colloidal silver and gold NPs of different sizes (3, 10, 50, and 100 nm), it was found that Ag NPs produce size-dependent mortality after 120 h post fertilization, while the behavior of Au NPs was independent of size and caused less than 3% mortality at the same time point. This implies that although NP surface area is important in toxicity, other factors such as chemistry play a role.\textsuperscript{167}

At present, QDs are considered intrinsically harmful because divalent cations and heavy metals in their structures can cause nephro-toxicity or acute and chronic toxicities in vertebrates.\textsuperscript{168} Surface coatings that limit the leakage of heavy metal ions can reduce the toxic potential of QDs, but size may also play a role in toxicity and distribution of these particles. For example, Shiohara et al.\textsuperscript{169} reported that the cytotoxicity of CdSe/ZnS QDs with carboxyl groups on the surface is correlated with a decrease in QD size. The size of QDs is a determining factor in sub-cellular distribution as it was observed that 5.2 nm cationic CdTe QDs localized throughout the cytoplasm of N9 cells, whereas smaller 2.2 nm QDs accumulated in the nuclear compartment.\textsuperscript{152}

Polyacrylate NPs were among the first NPs studied for controlled delivery of biological agents, with their introduction in the 1970s. Recently, Song et al.\textsuperscript{170} investigated the human toxicity of polyacrylate NPs prepared from polymerization of unsaturated monomers, such as methyl methacrylate, methacylic amide or cyanacrylates.\textsuperscript{171} These NPs were between 40 and 250 nm in size, which is relatively large compared to SPIONS, QDs, carbon blacks or metal oxides.\textsuperscript{172,173} The toxicity of the larger cyanacrylate NPs was correlated with chemical properties and molecule chain length and was independent of particle size.\textsuperscript{174} However, smaller polyacrylate NPs produced toxic effects independently of chemistry; pathological examination indicated nonspecific pulmonary inflammation, pulmonary fibrosis and foreign-body granulomas of pleura after exposure.\textsuperscript{170} Thus, the safety of polyacrylate NP is still of debate and further study is warranted in biomedical applications.\textsuperscript{175}

Generally NPs formed from biodegradable materials are expected to demonstrate fewer toxic events than non-biodegradable materials. Semete et al.\textsuperscript{176} investigated the \textit{in vivo} toxicity and biodistribution of PLGA (poly(\textit{D},\textit{L}-lactic-co-glycolic acid)) NPs with a size of 200-300 nm. Seven days after oral administration in mice, nearly 40% percent of PLGA particles were localized in the liver, and the rest were localized in brain and kidney without apparent toxicity.\textsuperscript{176} However, because of large size, it is unlikely that these NPs show any size-dependent toxicity. The chemical composition of biodegradable NPs and the subsequent degradation products will influence the biological effects. Polymers such as PLGA or polycaprolactone (PCL) undergo hydrolysis and enzymatic degradation after implantation into the body, forming lactic acid, glycolic or capronic acid, which are biologically compatible moieties. Apart from size dependent toxicity due to ROS-generating capability, particle size can affect the degradation of the polymer matrix. As the particle size is reduced, the surface area to volume ratio increases, resulting in a large surface area available for penetration of physiological liquids into the particles and also faster release of the polymer degradation products.\textsuperscript{177} The size effects of various NPs are shown in Table 3.

5.2. Effect of particle shape

Particle shapes and aspect ratios are two additional key factors that determine the toxicity of NPs. Nanomaterials can have very different shapes including fibers, spheres, tubes, rings, and planes. Most of the knowledge about shape dependent toxicity is based on \textit{in vitro} experiments. \textit{In vivo}, shape dependent toxicity of nanomaterials is usually imparted through its
adverse effect on endocytosis or clearance by macrophages, as
shape can influence the membrane warping process during
endocytosis or phagocytosis.\textsuperscript{187} For example, it had been
suggested that endocytosis of spherical NPs is easier and faster
compared to rod-shaped or fiber-like NPs.\textsuperscript{188} Rod-shaped or
needle-like NPs can have a larger contact area with the cell
membrane receptors than spherical NPs when the longitudinal
axis of the rods interacts with the receptors. Hence, the ends
with high curvature at the half-cup stage of endocytosis are very
likely to cause a higher membrane surface energy, resulting
in a large disturbing force that exceeds the maximum force
provided by the actin polymerization. This effect stalls the
growing ends of the phagocytic cup and results in impaired
phagocytosis and the macrophage spreading onto the material
rather than internalizing it.\textsuperscript{189,190} Because of this, disc-like,
cylindrical and hemispherical particles substantially outper-
form spherical particles in terms of evading uptake by phago-
cytic cells; consequently these non-spherical particles are more
disposed to flow through capillaries and adhere to blood vessel
walls, thus causing other biological consequences.\textsuperscript{191} For
example, Radomski \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{192} showed that in contrast to
fullerenes, SWCNT and MWCNT with tubular structure
stimulate plate aggregation and vascular thrombosis in rat
carotid arteries. Park \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{193} showed that SWCNT with rod
structure can block potassium ion channels two to three times
more efficiently than spherical carbon fullerenes. The length of
CNTs has been shown to result in inefficient phagocytosis and
damage to macrophages. Since full phagocytosis is hampered
and a full phagosome is not formed, the macrophage’s harm-
ful oxygen radicals and hydrolytic enzymes are released extra-
cellularly. Poland \textit{et al.} have studied the relationship between fiber
physicochemistry and pathogenicity and three fundamental
attributes, namely, dimension, durability and dose, referred to
as the 3D’s, have emerged as paramount to the pathogenicity
of a fiber.\textsuperscript{197} Very recently, Donaldson \textit{et al.} showed that
instilled particles are rapidly drawn cranially in the lymph flow
through the diaphragm via stomata, which are pore-like

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanomaterial</th>
<th>Toxicity study</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Study parameters</th>
<th>Toxicity mechanism</th>
<th>Administration route</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Biochemistry analysis (ceruloplasmin, serum copper and urine copper)</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Changes in blood gas and plasma electrolyte content of copper elements in renal tissues, serum and urine</td>
<td>Accumulation of alkaliescent substance</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>158, 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Tissue distribution, excretion in urine and feces</td>
<td>Inflammatory response of the liver for the 100 and 200 nm particles. All particles remained as aggregates in the spleen through macrophage trapping</td>
<td>Intraocular</td>
<td>178, 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Morphometric, microarray gene expression, and pathway analyses</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Up-regulation of placenta growth factor and other chemokines (CXCL1, CXCL5, and CCL3)</td>
<td>Pulmonary emphysema, macrophages accumulation, extensive disruption of alveolar septa, type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, and epithelial cell apoptosis</td>
<td>Intraocular</td>
<td>180, 181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Percent mortality</td>
<td>Zebra-fish, mouse</td>
<td>Size dependent distribution in liver, lung, spleen, kidneys and brain</td>
<td>Au NP of sizes of 3, 10, 50, and 100 nm did not show toxicity</td>
<td>Intravenous (mouse), exposure of zebra fish embryo to particles</td>
<td>163, 165, 167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotube</td>
<td>Blood biochemistry; histopathology measurement of cytokines and IGE and immuno phenotyping</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Translocation of NP from lungs to blood circulation and other organs</td>
<td>Significantly less translocation and accumulation with 80 nm than with 20 nm NP</td>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>Blood biochemistry; histopathology measurement of cytokines and IGE and immuno phenotyping</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Distribution in the body</td>
<td>Organ toxicity and inflammatory responses, cytokine production, increased B cell distribution, and inflammatory cell infiltrates</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe</td>
<td>MTT assay, acute toxicity</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Urinary or blood changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>LDH assay</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Protein assay</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
<td>Sub-conjunctival, instillation</td>
<td>184, 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA</td>
<td>Histopathology assays, tissue distribution</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Histopathology assays</td>
<td>No toxicity</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>Histological evaluation of heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidneys</td>
<td>Rats</td>
<td></td>
<td>No toxicity</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Size-dependent \textit{in vivo} study on nanomaterials
structures less than 10 μm in diameter, to the parathyroid lymph nodes. Long fibers such as long carbon nanofibers block stomata pores and meanwhile damage mesothelial and endothelial cell. Accumulation of pleural macrophages attempting to phagocytose these retained fibers results in frustrated phagocytosis. The macrophages release cytokines and oxidants that cause further inflammation, fibrosis and genotoxicity to the bystander mesothelial cells in these areas of congestion around the stomatal entrances. Frustated phagocytosis. The macrophages release cytokines and oxidants that cause further inflammation, fibrosis and genotoxicity to the bystander mesothelial cells in these areas of congestion around the stomatal entrances.197,198

A shape dependent toxicity has been observed with silica and TiO2 allotropes as well. For example, amorphous silica is an FDA-approved food additive, whereas crystalline silica is a suspected human carcinogen and is involved in the pathogenesis of silicosis.41

Different toxicity behavior has also been observed for TiO2 NPs with different crystal structures. For instance, Gur et al. reported that Rutile TiO2 NPs can induce oxidative DNA damage, lipid peroxidation, and micronucleation formation in the absence of light, whereas anatase NPs of the same size and chemical composition are inert.199 Contrast to these results, Petkovic et al. found that TiO2-anatase was significantly stronger ROS inducer than TiO2-rutile.200 Despite the contradictory results, both studies show that the intrinsic ability of anatase and rutile TiO2 to induce ROS is related to their structure, which influence toxicity.

Shape dependent toxicity of nickel NPs has been reported. Ispas et al.201 observed that nickel dendritic clusters consisting of aggregated 60 nm particles resulted in higher toxicity in zebra fish compared to spherical ones, suggesting that differences in shape and aggregation is responsible for increased toxicity. They hypothesized that Ni NPs in the cluster form adhere more readily and are retained for longer periods in the intestinal lumen, which increases cellular stress.

Shape dependent toxicity also has been observed in gold and titanium nanomaterials. Chithrani et al.202 reported that uptake of Au nanorods of 74 × 14 nm is slower than spherical nanospheres of radius 14 or 74 nm. The uptake of Au nanorods reaches a maximum when the size nears 50 nm and the aspect ratio approaches unity.165 Studies with TiO2 also demonstrated that fibrous structures with higher aspect ratios are more cytotoxic than more spherical structures. Hamilton et al.203 showed that TiO2 fibers with a length of 15 μm are highly toxic compared to fibers with a length of 5 μm, and the longer ones initiate an inflammatory response by alveolar macrophages in mice. As conclusion of this part, the role of the shape in nanotoxicity is summarized in Table 4.

5.3. Effect of surface charge

Surface charge also plays a role in toxicity, as it influences the adsorption of ions and biomolecules that may change organism or cellular responses toward particles. In addition, surface charge is a major determinant of colloidal behavior, which influences the organism response by changing the shape and size of NPs through aggregate or agglomerate formation.219

In general, it is believed that cationic surfaces are more toxic than anionic surfaces, and cationic surfaces are more likely to induce hemolysis and platelet aggregation, whereas neutral surfaces are the most biocompatible.220 This may be due to the affinity of cationic particles to the negative phospholipid head groups or protein domains on cell membranes. In addition surface charge influences plasma protein binding, which in turn affects the in vivo organ distribution and clearance of NPs from the circulation. For example Saxena et al. showed that acid-functionalized SWCNTs caused markedly significant in vivo toxicity compared to pristine SWCNTs. This higher toxicity could result either from a possible greater bioavailability of well dispersed AF-SWCNT preparations, or from the high negative charge on AF-SWCNTs, or both.221 Pietroiu et al. found that AF-SWCNTs had a marked embryotoxic effect compared to pristine SWCNTs in pregnant mice models. Similarly, increased toxicity was attributed to a higher percentage of monodispersed SWCNTs in acid functionalized SWCNTs and higher negative charge and hydrophilicity.222

5.4. The effect of composition

Although it has been suggested that size or surface area may be more important than chemical composition in conferring...
NPs toxicity, particle chemistry is more relevant in relation to cell molecular chemistry and oxidative stress. Harper et al. evaluated the effect of NP composition on toxicity using eleven commercially available dispersions of NPs with similar particle size in an embryonic zebrafish model, including positively charged-aluminium oxide, titanium oxide, zirconium oxide, gadolinium oxide, dysprosium oxide, holmium oxide, samarium oxide and erbium oxide, negatively charged yttrium oxide.

### Table 4  Effect of NPs’ shape on biological response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle shape</th>
<th>Particle examples</th>
<th>Toxicity mechanism</th>
<th>Physiological response</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical homogeneous</td>
<td>Iron oxide, gold</td>
<td>Internalization and membrane disruption. Highest cellular uptake with least membrane disruption among all shapes, thus least shape dependent toxicity</td>
<td>Cell division dysfunction and disturbed cellular trafficking; Mechanical interference with the mitotic spindle and DNA</td>
<td>204–206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous homogeneous</td>
<td>SWCNT, MWCNT, TiO₂, gold, mesoporous silica</td>
<td>Internalization and membrane disruption. Severe influence on initiation of phagocytosis. Blockage of transport channels. Highest distorting force on cell membrane among all shapes. Smaller aspect ratios lead to faster internalization and less cell membrane disruption</td>
<td>Chronic inflammation due to frustrated phagocytosis, mutagenic events, mesothelioma formation</td>
<td>207–213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-spherical homogeneous</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Dependent on the average radius of curvature. Disruption of membrane integrity and transport may occur</td>
<td>Toxicity due to chronic inflammation or impaired phagocytosis</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agglomerate homogeneous</td>
<td>Nickel, carbon black, TiO₂</td>
<td>Aggregation or agglomeration changes size of particles thus increasing their visibility to macrophages</td>
<td>Aggregation changes retention time of particles; changes in size may increase or decrease toxicity</td>
<td>143, 214, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous agglomerate</td>
<td>ZnO, iron oxide</td>
<td>Aggregation and cell membrane disruption may be dependent on the prevalence of high aspect ratio particles</td>
<td>Combinational effect similar to aggregated particles and fibrous particles</td>
<td>16, 216, 217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous concentric</td>
<td>Quantum dots</td>
<td>Similar to spherical NPs</td>
<td>Similar to spherical NPs</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
silicon dioxide, and alumina doped and cerium oxide. Significant mortality was observed after a 5-day continuous waterborne exposure at 50 ppm for erbium oxide and samarium oxide, and at 250 ppm for holmium oxide and dysprosium oxide. Waterborne exposure to yttrium oxide, samarium oxide and dysprosium oxide at concentrations of 10, 50 and 250 ppm caused significant morphological malformations in embryonic zebrafish. In contrast, no significant morbidity or mortality was observed for the other metal oxide NPs when embryos were injected with approximately 0.5 ng of NPs. Griffitt et al.\textsuperscript{229} used zebrafish, daphnids, and algal species as models of various trophic levels and feeding strategies to evaluate the toxicity of similarly sized silver, copper, aluminium, nickel, cobalt and titanium dioxide NPs and their corresponding soluble salts. The authors found that nanosilver, nanocopper, and their soluble forms caused toxicity in all organisms tested; however, titanium dioxide did not show any toxicity.\textsuperscript{229} They also observed that filter-feeding invertebrates are more susceptible to NP exposure compared to zebrafish. Although the NPs were of similar size but different surface charges, the chemical composition of NPs appeared to be the most important factor in toxicity. Contrary to these results, Chen et al.\textsuperscript{230} reported acute toxicity of titanium dioxide NPs in mice after intraperitoneal injection. They found that the TiO\textsubscript{2} NPs were mainly retained in spleen, lung, kidney and liver tissues, leading to serious lesions. According to these reports, it appears that the toxicity of NPs is not a generic response to nanoscopic dimensions; rather, it seems that multiple particular characteristics affect toxicity, including but not limited to chemical composition, surface charge, size, and shape.

5.5. Effects of coatings

The adverse effects of NPs maybe mitigated or eliminated by incorporation of surface coatings. Proper surface coatings can stabilize particles and avoid agglomeration. Coating is also an effective means of preventing the dissolution and release of toxic ions.\textsuperscript{231} Furthermore the steric hindrance of coatings can retard the cellular uptake and accumulation of NPs, or coatings can facilitate NP endocytosis.\textsuperscript{232–235} Surface coatings can modify the surface charge or surface composition, which can impact intracellular distribution and the production of ROSs that cause further toxicity. Many coatings are environmentally labile or degradable and may shed or degrade after exposure to biological media, thus rendering an initially nontoxic material a hazardous one.

Several studies in animals have shown that after a large dose of iron-based NPs (2.5 mmol), no life-threatening side effects appeared after a 7-day treatment, according to histology and serological blood tests. However, severe inflammatory and immunological responses can occur dependent on the density and type of surface coating.\textsuperscript{236–238} Normally, magnetic NPs
are surrounded by coatings to prevent the presence of free iron oxide, but the coating may be metabolized after some time.\textsuperscript{239} In some NPs such as QDs, a coating is unavoidable as the metallic core is hydrophobic, and the core itself is toxic as it is composed of heavy metals such as cadmium. Thus, a secondary coating is needed to increase the QD core’s durability, prevent ion leaching, and increase water dispersibility.\textsuperscript{240–243} The type of secondary surface coating may affect the toxicity of the QD complex. For example, Chen \textit{et al.} coated QDs with silica and the lack of genotoxicity was related to the silica coating, which successfully prevented the interaction of Cd, Se, Zn, and sulfur with proteins and DNA in the nucleus.\textsuperscript{244} Coatings may not be stable under oxidative or photolytic conditions thus exposing the metalloid core, which may be toxic or pave the way for unforeseen reactions of the QD inside the body.\textsuperscript{107,245} The charge of surface coatings may affect the toxicity of QD NPs. At high doses in mice, carboxyl-coated CdSe/ZnS QDs activated the coagulation cascade via contact activation and caused pulmonary vascular thrombosis.\textsuperscript{246} Fisher \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{247} investigated QDs coated with the negatively charged serum protein albumin. They observed a higher liver uptake (99\%) and faster blood clearance relative to the QDs without albumin (40\%).\textsuperscript{248–251}

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a FDA approved biocompatible polymer that generally does not induce any toxicity, so PEG has been used extensively for coating QDs. Ballou \textit{et al.} applied PEG coatings of different molecular weights (methoxy-terminated 750 Da PEG, carboxy-terminated 3400 Da PEG, and ethoxy-terminated 5000 Da PEG), and the NPs were observed for differential tissue and organ deposition in mice in a time- and size-(MW) dependent manner. The particles coated with lower molecular weight PEG were eliminated from circulation 1 h after injection, but QDs coated with PEG 5000 remained in the blood circulation for 3 h.\textsuperscript{105,252–256} Biocompatible polymers are widely used as coating materials for SPIONs to accomplish multiple objectives, including colloidal stabilization, delivering biologically active agents with a controlled release profile, and targeting specific tissues via conjugation with specific ligands.\textsuperscript{1,3,4,8–10,12–18,22,257–261} In fact, uncoated iron oxide NPs have very low solubility, which can lead to precipitation during storage and a high rate of agglomeration under physiological conditions that can impede blood vessels. Similar to QD coatings, the stability and toxicity of the SPION coating is important. It has been shown that dextran-magnetite (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) NPs cause cell death and reduced proliferation similar to uncoated iron oxide particles, which was attributed to the breakdown of the dextran shell exposing the cellular components to chains or aggregates of iron oxide NPs.\textsuperscript{262} Xie \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{263} also showed that coating PEG on monodisperse Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs produced negligible aggregation in cell culture conditions and reduced nonspecific uptake by macrophage cells. Although PEGylation may reduce the potential of harmful biological interactions, Cho \textit{et al.} found that 13 nm sized Au NPs coated with PEG 5000 induce acute inflammation and apoptosis in the mouse liver.\textsuperscript{264} These NPs were found to accumulate in the liver and spleen for up to 7 days after injection and to have a long blood circulation time of about 30 h. A relatively high concentration of PEG on the NPs surface alone does not lead to a lower NP uptake, but rather the spatial configurational freedom of PEG chains on the particle surface plays a determinant role.\textsuperscript{265}

Coatings and functionalization can also reduce the \textit{in vivo} toxicity of carbon nanotubes. Lacerda \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{266} intravenously injected MWCN functionalized with diethylene triamine penta-acetic di-anhydride, which resulted in stable dispersions with high excretion rates. Altogether, most studies have indicated that surface coatings can alter the pharmacokinetics, distribution, accumulation, and toxicity of NPs.

5.6. Effect of surface roughness

Physical surface properties of nanomaterials play a critical role in determining the outcome of their interactions with cells. Contrary to specific receptor-ligand interactions (e.g. endocytic uptake), surface roughness along with hydrophobicity and cationic charge are the main factors involved in nonspecific binding forces that promote cellular uptake.\textsuperscript{257,267} Small-radii surface coarseness dictates the strength of NP–cell interactions at the nanoscale, as it greatly minimizes electrostatic or hydrophobic-hydrophilic repulsive interactions therefore promoting cell adhesion. Particles may pass through cell membranes by disrupting the phospholipid bilayer of the plasma membrane and generating transient holes usually associated with cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{268} Lin \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{269} investigated the hemolytic activity of nonporous and porous-silica NPs of varied sizes. They observed that the size-dependent hemolysis effect of mesoporous silica NPs is only present when the NPs have long-range ordered porous structure, revealing that pore structure is critical in cell-NP interactions. The extent of hemolysis by mesoporous silica NPs increases with particle age as phosphate-buffered solutions compromise the pore structure. Although the reduced cytotoxicity can be correlated to less penetrating force of the particles through the membrane, the authors suggest the effect is mainly due to fewer silanol groups on the cell-contactable surface of the porous silica NPs.\textsuperscript{269} Angelis \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{270} showed that nanoporous silicon NPs with a pore size of about 2 nm did not have any toxicity in mouse-models, as serum levels of both inflammatory cytokine IL1-b and hepato-toxicity markers LDH and GSH were normal, and there was no histological evidence of tissue pathology in the liver, kidney, spleen, lungs and heart. Similarly, Park \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{271} reported no \textit{in vivo} toxicity using biodegradable luminescent porous silicon NPs.

The significant factors that impact new biological applications of NPs are summarized in Table 5. The enhancements of certain physicochemical properties of NPs can create new applications for these materials, but these new properties may also cause significant toxicities.

5.7. Effect of the medium that contains NPs

Proper and stable dispersion of nanoparticles in the delivery medium is very important for their biological distribution and subsequent activity. Due to agglomeration, NPs may not form a stable suspension in the physiological solutions suitable for \textit{in vivo} exposure. Medium condition such as ionic strength and pH can affect particles dispersion. For instance particles of TiO\textsubscript{2}, ZnO or carbon black have been shown to have significantly greater size in PBS than in water.\textsuperscript{143} Similarly TiO\textsubscript{2} NPs
Table 5 Significant enhancements on the new biological applications of various NPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Physicochemical properties</th>
<th>Animal model</th>
<th>Possible toxicity mechanism</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silica Nanorattle</td>
<td>Size: 125 nm with very narrow size distribution; detectable by doped fluorescent agents</td>
<td>Mice (liver cancer)</td>
<td>ROS production, however much less than non-porous silica due to less surface area</td>
<td>High drug loading capability; good conjugation potential with biomolecules; suitable contrast for in vivo detection using fluorescent agents; coating with polymeric materials (e.g. PEG) improves therapeutic efficacy, and reduces the toxicity of antitumor agents.</td>
<td>272, 273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon nanocrystals</td>
<td>Overall size: 50 to 120 nm; formed by spherical aggregates of crystalline particles; good functionalization capability with organic molecules</td>
<td>Mice (different organs)</td>
<td>ROS production due to crystals and surface defects; membrane disruption</td>
<td>Better in vivo compatibility in comparison with QDs; suitable luminescent properties and long tumor accumulation times (&gt;40 h); targeted cancer imaging and sentinel lymph node mapping; oxidant injury and toxicity can be reduced by increasing size (e.g. aggregation) or changing charge</td>
<td>271, 274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullerenes</td>
<td>Size: 160 ±50 nm; zeta potential: ~30 mV</td>
<td>Rat (lung tissue)</td>
<td>ROS production; oxidant injury to cellular membranes</td>
<td>Addition of ionic groups decreases systematic toxicity due to radical generation by the Fullerene surface (e.g. Ca(OH)₂) Used as near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) imaging probes by adding variable surface compositions of dye-labeled peptide substrates (for example Quasar 670); the probe enhances particle circulation time and image contrast in vivo. Cytotoxicity can be mitigated by modifying size or surface charge</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Size 20 nm with very narrow size distribution; capability to be conjugated with variety of biochemical compounds</td>
<td>Athymic nude mice (tumor phantom model)</td>
<td>AU NPs can cause nephrotoxicity and cryptosis, or they can interact with DNA. Nevertheless, they are amongst the safest NPs reported to date.</td>
<td>In comparison to spherical NPs, nanorods have better capabilities as a probe for molecular imaging purposes; in order to reduce the toxicity of capping agents and to increase the blood circulation time of nanorods, biocompatible polymers (e.g. PEG) should be used as coating materials.</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold nanorods</td>
<td>Aspect ratio: ~3 (diameter of 15 nm and length of 50 nm)</td>
<td>Athymic nude mice (breast cancer tumors)</td>
<td>Nanorods are more internalized in the intracellular environment compared to spherical particles, as their high aspect ratio may retard initiation of phagocytosis. The slight toxicity of gold nanorods is due to toxic capping agents (e.g. ethyltrimethylammonium).</td>
<td>They usually belong to group II–VI elements of the periodic table, and have core–shell morphology. They are traceable by in vivo targeted fluorescence imaging. Their major short comings are the short circulation time and removal by reticuloendothelial system (RES) which causes reduction of tumor-specific signal, and the heavy metals used in their construction can cause long-term toxicity. Their fluorescence intensity in tumors can be enhanced significantly (more than 50%) by conjugation of targeting agents, e.g. epidermal growth factors. To reduce toxicity, the surface should be coated with biocompatible polymers or inorganic materials.</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiconductor quantum dots (QDs)</td>
<td>Size: 80 nm</td>
<td>Mice (liver tissue), and lymphatic vessel</td>
<td>Release of toxic heavy metal ions (Cd and Se ions)</td>
<td>Determination of their biodistribution is inconvenient; however, in order to overcome this problem, Gd₂O₃ NPs can be embedded within single-walled carbon nanohorns (i.e. Gd exhibits a high electron scattering ability). For drug delivery purposes, drugs can be loaded and stored inside open oxidant holes. Good biocompatibility; indocyanine green (ICG) molecules can be embedded as a near-infrared (NIR) emitting fluorophore to enhance in vivo contrast. The ICG-encapsulating NPs have noticeably higher fluorescence and stability in vivo compared to the free fluorophore. Suitable for deep tissue imaging. In order to enhance the circulation time, the surface of the NPs should be coated or functionalized (e.g. PEG or carboxylate).</td>
<td>278, 279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-walled carbon nanohorns</td>
<td>Diameter 2–5 nm, and length 40–50 nm. Single-walled carbon nanohorns (diameter 100 nm)</td>
<td>Mice (liver and spleen tissues)</td>
<td>Production of ROS (metal impurities) followed by inflammatory effects</td>
<td>Good biocompatibility; indocyanine green (ICG) molecules can be embedded as a near-infrared (NIR) emitting fluorophore to enhance in vivo contrast. The ICG-encapsulating NPs have noticeably higher fluorescence and stability in vivo compared to the free fluorophore. Suitable for deep tissue imaging. In order to enhance the circulation time, the surface of the NPs should be coated or functionalized (e.g. PEG or carboxylate).</td>
<td>280, 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium phosphate</td>
<td>Size: &lt;50 nm (10–50 nm)</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Possible ROS production due to crystal defects if crystalline, or surface defects if amorphous</td>
<td>Good biocompatibility; indocyanine green (ICG) molecules can be embedded as a near-infrared (NIR) emitting fluorophore to enhance in vivo contrast. The ICG-encapsulating NPs have noticeably higher fluorescence and stability in vivo compared to the free fluorophore. Suitable for deep tissue imaging. In order to enhance the circulation time, the surface of the NPs should be coated or functionalized (e.g. PEG or carboxylate).</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
also have been shown to have different diameters in biological systems. Colvin pointed out that the behavior of NPs depends on the medium that they are suspended in. Fig. 3 shows the diversity of fullerene (C60) NP preparations: dried, dissolved in a nonpolar solvent and chemically modified C60 NPs dispersed as a colloid in water. The toxic effect of NPs in these three different cases may be different. For example, dried NPs may be dispersed into the air by forced or natural convection and can pose a hazard when inhaled into the lungs. Single NPs or clustered NPs may have different biological reactivities. Further, liquid media may affect the dermal uptake of NPs. Dispersion or wetting agents in media also may adversely affect the toxicity of nanomaterials. For example, Sager et al. showed that the addition of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine in PBS improved dispersion of TiO2 and carbon nanoparticles; however, it significantly increases the inflammatory response of rats after intratracheal instillation. Therefore, dispersion agents may improve the physicochemical and solution properties of nanomaterials formulations, but they may have adverse effects on the safety of these materials.

6. Conclusions and future challenges

The toxicity of nanomaterials is affected by their composition, much like the parent bulk materials. However, additional physicochemical properties play a crucial role in determining the toxicity of nanomaterials, such as size, surface chemistry, shape, protein absorption gradient and surface smoothness or roughness. Thus, the toxicity of chemically identical materials can be altered significantly by the manipulation of several physicochemical properties. In order to reduce the considerable knowledge gap between the development and in vivo toxicity of NPs, a considerable effort is needed by the scientific community to study the physiological effects of acute and...
chronic exposure to NPs. A fundamental understanding of the biological interactions of NPs with cells, proteins, and tissues, is vital to the future design of safe nanotechnologies. Prior to their wider adoption in everyday products and their clinical use, NP-products must be shown to have a high degree of biocompatibility, with minimal negative effects on blood components, genetic material, and cell viability.

From limited research conducted in the last several years, inhaled nanoparticles from airborne sources are of concern, included carbon-based materials. During the manufacturing of nano-based materials, it is imperative that workers are adequately protected from inhaling NPs, as the long-term effects of exposure are still unknown. This also suggests that NPs should be properly incorporated or sequestered into products to prevent subsequent release during use or disposal. Further, dermal contact and other non-inhalation routes of exposure to nanoparticles must be studied to understand possible toxic effects.
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