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We consider simple undirected graphs.

For a graph $G = (V, E)$,
- its order $|V|$ is denoted by $n$;
- its size $|E|$ is denoted by $m$. 
Introduction

A graph invariant is a function on graphs that is constant on isomorphism classes.
Examples: order $n$, size $m$, chromatic number $\chi$, maximum degree $\Delta$, diameter $D$, planarity, . . .
Introduction

A graph invariant is a function on graphs that is constant on isomorphism classes.
Examples: order \( n \), size \( m \), chromatic number \( \chi \), maximum degree \( \Delta \), diameter \( D \), planarity, . . .

Example (Several isomorphic graphs \( \rightarrow \) one graph \( G \))

\[
\begin{align*}
n(G) &= 4, \quad m(G) = 5, \quad \chi(G) = 3, \\
\Delta(G) &= 3, \quad D(G) = 2, \quad \text{planarity}(G) = \text{true}, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
Extremal Graph Theory aims to find bounds on a graph invariant under some constraints. Generally, those constraints are of two types:

- restricting class of graphs (e.g., connected graphs, trees);
- fixing (and restricting) values of other invariants (e.g., size, maximum degree).

Results in Extremal Graph Theory mainly consists in

- giving bounds;
- characterizing graphs achieving these bounds.
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Context: Computer-assisted discovery in Extremal Graph Theory

Several existing systems: Graph, Graffiti, AutoGraphiX, GraPHedron, ...
- exploit different ideas to help graph theorists

Objectives of this talk:
- presentation of PHOEG, a successor of GraPHedron
- use of an illustrative problem (eccentric connectivity index, ECI)

Remark: work under progress
- PHOEG is currently a prototype
- the problem about ECI is not fully solved
Eccentric Connectivity Index

Let $v$ be a vertex of a graph $G$, recall that:

- \textit{degree} $d(v) = \text{number of adjacent vertices of } v$;

\[ \text{Example} \]

\[ \text{Diagram} \]
Let $v$ be a vertex of a graph $G$, recall that:

- **degree** $d(v) =$ number of adjacent vertices of $v$;
- **eccentricity** $\epsilon(v) =$ maximal distance between $v$ and any other vertex.

Example
Eccentric Connectivity Index

Definition

The Eccentric Connectivity Index (ECI) of a graph $G$, denoted by $\xi^c(G)$, is

$$\xi^c(G) = \sum_{v \in V} d(v)e(v).$$
Eccentric Connectivity Index

Definition

The Eccentric Connectivity Index (ECI) of a graph $G$, denoted by $\xi^c(G)$, is

$$\xi^c(G) = \sum_{v \in V} d(v)e(v).$$

Example

$$\xi^c(G) = 2 \times (4 + 3) = 14.$$
 Bounds on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Now, let’s make extremal graph theory about $\xi^c$ with the help of a computer.

First step: define a problem by choosing constraints.

Several papers containing bounds on $\xi^c$ — using various invariants as constraints — have been published (since 2010). However, the two simplest graph invariants are the order $n$ and the size $m$ and this leads to the following natural question.

Problem
Among connected graphs of order $n$ and size $m$, what is the maximum possible value for $\xi^c$?

(To avoid infinite eccentricities, we restrict the problem to connected graphs $G$.)
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Bounds on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Now, let’s make extremal graph theory about $\xi^c$ with the help of a computer.

First step: define a problem by choosing constraints.

Several papers containing bounds on $\xi^c$ — using various invariants as constraints — have been published (since 2010). However, the two simplest graph invariants are the order $n$ and the size $m$ and this leads to the following natural question.

**Problem**

Among connected graphs of order $n$ and size $m$, what is the maximum possible value for $\xi^c$?

(To avoid infinite eccentricities, we restrict the problem to connected graph)
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

We define $E_{n,m}$ as follows:

$$n = 7, \ m = 14$$
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We define $E_{n,m}$ as follows:

- The biggest possible clique without disconnecting the graph.
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Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

We define $E_{n,m}$ as follows:

- The biggest possible clique without disconnecting the graph.
- A path with the remaining vertices.
- Add remaining edges between vertices of the clique and the first vertex of the path.

$n = 7, m = 14$
Upper bound on $\xi_c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

We define $E_{n,m}$ as follows:

- The biggest possible clique without disconnecting the graph.
- A path with the remaining vertices.
- Add remaining edges between vertices of the clique and the first vertex of the path.

This graph is unique for given $n$ and $m$. We define $d_{n,m}$ as the diameter of $E_{n,m}$.

$n = 7, m = 14$
Upper bound on $\xi_c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

$m = 4, d_{n,m} = 4$

$m = 5, d_{n,m} = 3$

$m = 6, d_{n,m} = 3$

$m = 7, d_{n,m} = 2$

$m = 8, d_{n,m} = 2$

$m = 9, d_{n,m} = 2$
Conjecture of Zhang, Liu and Zhou

Conjecture (Zhang, Liu and Zhou, 2014)

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and size $m$ such that $d \geq 3$. Then,

$$\xi^c(G) \leq \xi^c(E_{n,m}),$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong E_{n,m}$.

- The authors prove that the conjecture is true when $m = n - 1, n, \ldots, n + 4$ (if $n$ is large enough).
- It exists a “proof” published in a journal of University of Isfahan (Iran, 2014) but that is obviously wrong.
Conjecture of Zhang, Liu and Zhou

Conjecture (Zhang, Liu and Zhou, 2014)

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and size $m$ such that $d \geq 3$. Then, $\xi^c(G) \leq \xi^c(E_{n,m})$, with equality iff $G \cong E_{n,m}$.

This conjecture leads to several questions:

- Is the conjecture true?
- If yes, how to prove it?
- If no, how to improve or correct it?
- What about graphs such that $d < 3$?
How the computer can help?

In the following, we will show how PHOEG can help to study all of the above questions and to raise new ones.
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG

GraPHedron’s main principle:

- view graphs as points in the space of invariants;
- compute the convex hull of these points (for small values of $n$).

PHOEG is intended to be the successor of GraPHedron. It can be used to explore graphs’ convex hull but also go further (see later).
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 5$
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 6$
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 7$
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 8$
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Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 9$
Exploring $\xi^c$ with PHOEG: polytopes

Polytope for $n = 10$
There is a lot of possible observations on these polytopes.
Observations and questions

- How to explain the grid?
- Is the conjecture of Zhang, Liu and Zhou true when \( d \geq 3 \)?
- Upper bound when \( d < 3 \)?
Observations and questions

- How to explain the grid? GraPHedron: gives no access to inner points
- Is the conjecture of Zhang, Liu and Zhou true when $d \geq 3$? GraPHedron does not allow to constraint points
- Upper bound when $d < 3$? Idem

These questions are outside the scope of the former system: let’s dive into PHOEG!
From GraPHedron to PHOEG

- Former system: graphs and invariant’s values written sequentially in files;
- PHOEG uses a PostgreSQL DB with more than 12 million of non-isomorphic graphs (up to order 10);
- Each graph has its unique signature:
  - to each graph $G$, one assigns a representative of its isomorphism class;
  - it is called the canonical form of $G$;
  - in practice, $\text{Canon}(G)$ is the smallest graph in the isomorphism class of $G$ (in the lexicographical order induced by adjacency matrices);
  - the canonical matrix is then translated into a string (graph6 format):
    \[
    \text{sig}(C_5) = "DqK";
    \]
    \[
    \text{sig}(K_3) = "Bw".
    \]
- This allows complex (and fast) queries on graphs.
### Invariants’ Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphs</th>
<th>NumVertices</th>
<th>NumEdges</th>
<th>ECI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>signature</td>
<td></td>
<td>signature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_</td>
<td></td>
<td>A_</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A?</td>
<td></td>
<td>A?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B?</td>
<td></td>
<td>B?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td></td>
<td>BG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bw</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C’</td>
<td></td>
<td>C’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C~</td>
<td></td>
<td>C~</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C~</td>
<td></td>
<td>C~</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C?</td>
<td></td>
<td>C?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C@</td>
<td></td>
<td>C@</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database query – Points and multiplicities

```sql
SELECT P.val AS eci, num_edges.val AS m, COUNT(*) AS mult
FROM eci P
JOIN num_vertices USING(signature)
JOIN num_edges USING(signature)
WHERE num_vertices.val = 7
GROUP BY m, eci;
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>eci</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>mult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[...]
Database query – Polytope

```
SELECT ST_AsText(ST_ConvexHull(ST_Collect(ST_Point(eci, m))))
FROM poly;
```

```
st_astext
--------------------------------------------------------
POLYGON((18 6,42 21,66 18,68 17,66 11,62 8,54 6,18 6))
```
Database query – Polytope

Polytope for $n = 7$

The diagram shows a polytope plot with axes $\xi^c$ and $m$ for $n = 7$. The plot includes a contour line and various data points indicating the multiplicity.
Database query – adding other information

```sql
SELECT num_edges.val AS m,
       p.val AS eci, d.val AS d,
       diam.val AS diam
FROM eci p
JOIN num_vertices USING(signature)
JOIN num_edges USING(signature)
JOIN d USING(signature)
JOIN diam USING(signature)
WHERE num_vertices.val = 7
ORDER BY diam, d, m, eci;
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>eci</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>diam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[...]
Coloring points with values of $d$

Recall that the conjecture is stated for $d \geq 3$. Is it true for $n = 7$?
WITH tmp AS (  
  SELECT n.val AS n, m.val AS m,  
         P.signature, P.val AS eci, d.val AS d  
    rank() OVER (  
      PARTITION BY n.val, m.val  
      ORDER BY P.val DESC  
    ) AS pos  
  FROM num_vertices n  
  JOIN num_edges m USING(signature)  
  JOIN d USING(signature)  
  JOIN eci P USING(signature)  
  WHERE n.val = 7  
  )  

SELECT signature AS sig, n, m, eci, d  
FROM tmp  
WHERE pos = 1 AND d >= 3  
ORDER BY n, m, d, eci;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sig</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>eci</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F@IQO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F@‘J_</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FgCXW</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWCYw</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FgCwx</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F‘Kyw</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F‘Kzw</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F‘Lzw</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F‘\zw</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FJ]\w</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FJ\w</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database query – Extremal graphs

WITH tmp AS (  
  SELECT n.val AS n, m.val AS m,  
      P.signature, P.val AS eci, d.val AS d  
  FROM num_vertices n  
  JOIN num_edges m USING(signature)  
  JOIN d USING(signature)  
  JOIN eci P USING(signature)  
  WHERE n.val = 7  
  ) AS pos  
  SELECT signature AS sig, n, m, eci, d  
  FROM tmp  
  WHERE pos = 1 AND d >= 3  
  ORDER BY n, m, d, eci;

⇒ counter-example to the conjecture!

Extremal graphs are not always unique
Counter-example \((n = 7 \text{ and } m = 15)\)
Counter-example \((n = 7 \text{ and } m = 15)\)
Counter-example \((n = 7 \text{ and } m = 15)\)

\[5 \times 2\]

\[5 \times 2\]

\[5 \times 2\]

\[3 \times 3\]

\[5 \times 2\]

\[5 \times 2\]

\[2 \times 3\]

It is possible to construct counter-examples for any values of \(n \geq 6\) (with \(d = 3\)).
Coloring points with values of $d$

Polytope for $n = 7$ with values for $d$

Upper bound when $d \leq 2?$
Upper facet of the polytope ($n = 7$)
A new upper bound tight when $d \leq 2$

**Theorem**

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and size $m$. Then,

$$
\xi^c(G) \leq n(n - 1)(n - 2) - 2m(n - 3),
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is the complement of a matching.

Note that the bound is valid for all graphs but can be tight only if

$$
m \geq \left(\begin{array}{c}
n \\
2
\end{array}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor,
$$

(and thus $d \leq 2$).
Coloring points with values of the diameter

Polytope for $n = 7$ with values for diameter $D$

Can the diameter explain the blue grid? Actually, yes!
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Coloring points with values of the diameter

Polytope for $n = 7$ with values for diameter $D$

Can the diameter explain the blue grid? Actually, yes!
Understanding the grid of blue points

- Suppose $D(G) = 2$ (light blue points)
- For each vertex $v$, since $D(G) = 2$, either $\epsilon(v) = 1$ or $\epsilon(v) = 2$
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- For each vertex $v$, since $D(G) = 2$, either $\epsilon(v) = 1$ or $\epsilon(v) = 2$
- If $\epsilon(v) = 1$, then $v$ is dominant and $d(v) = n - 1$
Suppose $D(G) = 2$ (light blue points)

For each vertex $v$, since $D(G) = 2$, either $\epsilon(v) = 1$ or $\epsilon(v) = 2$

If $\epsilon(v) = 1$, then $v$ is dominant and $d(v) = n - 1$

Let $k$ be the number of dominant vertices of $G$
Suppose $D(G) = 2$ (light blue points)

For each vertex $v$, since $D(G) = 2$, either $\epsilon(v) = 1$ or $\epsilon(v) = 2$

If $\epsilon(v) = 1$, then $v$ is dominant and $d(v) = n - 1$

Let $k$ be the number of dominant vertices of $G$

The sum of degrees of non dominant vertices is $2m - k(n - 1)$
Understanding the grid of blue points

- Suppose $D(G) = 2$ (light blue points)
- For each vertex $v$, since $D(G) = 2$, either $\epsilon(v) = 1$ or $\epsilon(v) = 2$
- If $\epsilon(v) = 1$, then $v$ is dominant and $d(v) = n - 1$
- Let $k$ be the number of dominant vertices of $G$
- The sum of degrees of non dominant vertices is $2m - k(n - 1)$

Thus,

$$\xi^c(G) = k(n - 1) + 2(2m - k(n - 1)) = 4m - k(n - 1),$$

that is maximum if $k = 0$ and, moreover, explain the grid.
Up to this point, we have

- a tight upper bound when $d \leq 2$;
- and counter-examples for the unicity if $d = 3$.

However, the conjecture may be true if $d \geq 4$ (actually, we believe it is).

Is PHOEG able to help also for a proof?
PHOEG – Transproof

Up to this point, we have

- a tight upper bound when $d \leq 2$;
- and counter-examples for the unicity if $d = 3$.

However, the conjecture may be true if $d \geq 4$ (actually, we believe it is).

Is PHOEG able to help also for a proof?

This is the purpose of the *Transproof* module:

- using graph transformations is a common proof technique;
- not always easy to find “good” transformations.
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal

14

13

9

14
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal

14 -> 13 -> 9

14
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Metagraph of transformations – edge removal
Graph database

- Metagraph stored in a graph DB (*Neo4j*)
- Easy queries, e.g.,
  
  ```query
  match (n)-[e:EdgeRemoval]->(m)
  where n.invariant < m.invariant
  return n,e,m
  ```
Finding transformations

Actually, removing an edge is not well suited for our problem.
Indeed, the size of our graphs is fixed.
Finding transformations

Actually, removing an edge is not well suited for our problem.

Indeed, the size of our graphs is fixed.

A rotation can be better since it keeps the size unchanged.

**Definition**

Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a graph and \( u, v, w \) be three vertices of \( G \) such that \( uv \in V \) and \( uw \notin V \). Then, \( G' \) is the graph obtained from \( G \) by applying a rotation \( \text{rot}(u, v, w) \) if

\[
G' = G - uv + uw.
\]
Finding transformations

Actually, removing an edge is not well suited for our problem.

Indeed, the size of our graphs is fixed.

A rotation can be better since it keeps the size unchanged.

**Definition**

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $u, v, w$ be three vertices of $G$ such that $uv \in V$ and $uw \notin V$. Then, $G'$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by applying a *rotation* $\text{rot}(u, v, w)$ if

$$G' = G - uv + uw.$$
The metagraph of rotations for $\xi^c$ when $n = 5$ et $m = 6$

Applying only one rotation is thus not sufficient to have a proof.

Finding good transformations for $\xi^c$: work in progress.
The metagraph of rotations for $\xi^c$ when $n = 5$ et $m = 6$

Applying only one rotation is thus not sufficient to have a proof.

Finding good transformations for $\xi^c$: work in progress.
Concluding remarks

- Not only extremal graphs are useful to study extremal properties of an invariant.
- Exact approach limited to small graphs \((n \leq 10)\).
- However, dealing with small graphs has already shown to be very useful and led to numerous results (AutoGraphiX, GraPHedron).
Perspectives

- Invariants’ DB allows a form of dynamic programming
- Create a simple interface for queries
- Allow easy visualization and manipulation of outputs (GUI, PDF, etc.)
- Simplify the definitions of transformations
- Suggest automatically (a short list of) transformations
Appendix
Eccentric Connectivity Index

History and motivation
- Sharma, Goswani and Madan introduced $\xi_c$ in 1997 in Chemistry;
- Useful as a discriminating topological descriptor for Structure Properties and Structure Activity studies;
- Since 1997, more than 200 chemical papers about $\xi_c$: applications in drug design, prediction of anti-HIV activities, etc.
Eccentric Connectivity Index

History and motivation

- Sharma, Goswani and Madan introduced $\xi^c$ in 1997 in Chemistry;
- Useful as a discriminating topological descriptor for Structure Properties and Structure Activity studies;
- Since 1997, more than 200 chemical papers about $\xi^c$: applications in drug design, prediction of anti-HIV activities, etc.
- However, the first mathematical paper with extremal properties on $\xi^c$ was published only in 2010;
- Since 2010, about a dozen papers containing bounds on $\xi^c$. 
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

**Definition**

For positive integers $n$ and $m$ with $n - 1 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2}$, let

$$d_{n,m} = \left\lfloor \frac{2n + 1 - \sqrt{17 + 8(m - n)}}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

In the following, we simply use $d$ for $d_{n,m}$.

**Definition**

Let $E_{n,m}$ be the graph obtained from a clique $K_{n-d-1}$ and a path $P_{d+1} = v_0 v_1 \ldots v_d$ by joining each vertex of the clique to both $v_d$ and $v_{d-1}$, and by joining

$$m - n + 1 - \binom{n - d}{2}$$

vertices of the clique to $v_{d-2}$.
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Example ($n = 5$)

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccccc}
   m & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline
   d & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
   n - d - 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
   \# \text{ edges to } v_{d-2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph Example](image_url)
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Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upper bound on $\xi^c$ for connected graphs with fixed size

Example ($n = 5$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n - d - 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># edges to $v_{d-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph diagram](image-url)